Max Paradox

PROBLEM? RELAX. YOU'VE GOT THIS!

We Were Only Supposed to Buy More This Week

How to Manage a Household Shopping Budget as Prices and Household Size Change



We Were Only Supposed to Buy More This Week

How to Manage a Household Shopping Budget as Prices and Household Size Change

Max Paradox

PROBLEM? RELAX. YOU'VE GOT THIS!

ISBN: 9788384909126

ALL-IN Rafał Płonkowski



CONTENTS

INTRO 5

Chapter 1 - Why Shopping Always Costs More Than We Planned 11

Chapter 2 - How Much Does Your Household Really Cost? 21

Chapter 3 - Prices Change Faster Than Our Habits 34

Chapter 4 - Saving Money That Does Not Actually Save Money 45

Chapter 5 - The Store Does Not Have to Make Decisions for You 58

Chapter 6 - One Shared Budget, Different Habits 68

Chapter 7 - When Your Shopping Plan Loses to Everyday Life 81

Chapter 8 - How to Build a Shopping Basket That Can Be Used in Many Different Ways 92

Chapter 9 - How to Set a Budget You Can Actually Stick To 104

Chapter 10 - From Shopping to Expense Control: A Simple Tracking System 116

Chapter 11 - Buy Only as Much as Your Household Actually Uses 128

Chapter 12 - How to Adjust Shopping When the Number of Household Members Changes 139

Chapter 13 - How to Spread Your Shopping Throughout the Month Without Spending Everything at Once 151

Chapter 14 - How to Find a Store Where Shopping Is Actually Worthwhile 161

Chapter 15 - What to Do When Your Budget No Longer Adds Up 172

Chapter 16 - How to Manage Shopping When There Is Not Enough Money for Everything 184

Chapter 17 - Unexpected Purchases: What to Do When Life Has No Idea What Your Budget Looks Like 198

Chapter 18 - How to Keep Your Budget Organised When the Initial Enthusiasm Disappears 208

Chapter 19 - When You Have to Choose: How to Make Difficult Shopping Decisions 220

Chapter 20 - Your Budget, Your Rules: How to Shop Sensibly for Years to Come 232

INTRO

It all starts innocently enough. The milk in the fridge is running out, there is just enough bread left to suggest that someone has been operating a secret catering business overnight, and the cupboard contains half a packet of pasta that nobody remembers buying. You also need a few things for dinner, something for breakfast, and the basic products that are supposed to be in every household at all times. You head to the shop with a clear plan. You will spend no more than 200 zlotys, buy the essentials, and come home without any unnecessary extras. A straightforward mission. Nothing dramatic. What could possibly go wrong?

Forty minutes later, you are standing at the checkout, staring at the total and wondering whether you have accidentally purchased supplies for a second household. There is nothing particularly luxurious in your trolley. No champagne, no caviar, no complete starter kit for opening your own private restaurant. Just ordinary groceries, some vegetables, dairy products, meat, household cleaning supplies, and a few little things that seemed absolutely essential while you were walking past them. Yet the receipt suggests that your household has just entered an entirely new tax bracket.

And then comes that familiar thought: this week will be a little more expensive, but next week everything will go back to normal.

That sentence has a remarkable ability to survive. It gets repeated for weeks, months, and sometimes years. The bigger shopping trip was supposed to be a one-off, the extra products were supposed to last for ages, and spending was

supposed to settle down as soon as the exceptional circumstances were over. Meanwhile, the fridge still needs restocking, the people living in the house still need to eat, and prices apparently have no interest whatsoever in any agreement concerning our household budget.

The problem is that grocery shopping is one of the most variable parts of everyday household spending. We do not always buy the same things, in the same quantities, at the same prices. One week everyone is at home. The next, someone goes away, someone comes back, a guest arrives, or the usual daily routine changes completely. Children eat differently during the holidays than they do during the school year. Adults have different schedules, responsibilities, and habits. Sometimes we cook regularly, and sometimes the dinner plan loses a spectacular battle against reality at five o'clock on a Tuesday afternoon.

Then there are prices. A product we used to buy automatically suddenly costs more. A promotion encourages us to purchase a larger quantity, a package changes its weight, and a cheaper alternative turns out to be less economical than the label suggested. We may feel that we are spending roughly the same amount of money while bringing home fewer products. Or the opposite happens: we buy more because something is on special offer, only to discover later that our savings occupy half a cupboard and are beginning to develop their own housing requirements.

Under these circumstances, managing a shopping budget by following the rule "we always spend the same amount" quickly stops working. A fixed amount can be a useful reference point, but it is not a magical boundary beyond which people suddenly stop needing food. When the number of household members changes, their needs change too.

When prices rise, the same shopping basket can cost more. Pretending these circumstances do not exist does not improve your financial situation. It merely makes your spreadsheet feel better because it can continue displaying assumptions from several months ago without being challenged.

On the other hand, having no rules at all is hardly a solution. If we shop according to our current mood, hunger level, and the attractiveness of the packaging, it becomes difficult to work out where the money actually went. Spending spreads across dozens of small decisions. Each one seems perfectly reasonable on its own, but their combined effect can surprise even someone who considers themselves quite careful with money. A few extra items here, a convenient alternative there, and suddenly the shopping bill has developed ambitions of its own.

Most of us try to solve this problem in one of two ways. The first is to tighten our belts. We set a lower limit, decide to buy less, and promise ourselves that this time we will exercise greater discipline. For a few days, everything looks promising. Then we discover that we have run out of basic products, another shopping trip becomes necessary, and some of the meals we planned earlier no longer make sense. Saving money requires another expense, and we begin to suspect that our fridge is running an organised sabotage operation.

The second approach is stockpiling. If prices might increase, it makes sense to take advantage of promotions and replenish our supplies. Sometimes it really does make sense, but only when we know what we actually need, how much we can realistically use, and whether we have enough space to store it. Otherwise, we simply turn money into

products that sit around waiting for their moment to shine, eventually passing their use-by dates. It is difficult to call something a saving when it ends up in the bin. Even if the discount at the checkout looked spectacular.

We need a different approach. One that does not assume every week will be identical, prices will remain stable, and everyone in the household will eat exactly the quantities we predicted during Sunday's planning session. A shopping budget should help us make decisions, not force us to pretend that real life operates according to a spreadsheet.

In this book, we will treat grocery shopping as part of a household financial system that can be observed, adjusted, and adapted. You will learn to distinguish regular expenses from exceptional ones, recognise the actual cost of your shopping basket, and assess whether a promotion genuinely offers an advantage. We will look at how to plan shopping when the number of people in the household changes, how to account for price fluctuations, and how to establish spending limits that make sense in practice rather than simply looking impressive when written down on a piece of paper.

We will also pay attention to what happens between shopping trips. Because a budget does not end when you pay for your groceries. What matters is also what you already have at home, what you actually use, what gets wasted, and why we so often buy something again even though there is a reasonable chance it is already somewhere on the third shelf, hiding behind a jar containing something whose identity has yet to be established.

We will not pretend that there is one perfect grocery budget for every household. A universal spending limit would be about as useful as a single pair of shoes

supposedly fitting every resident of the country. Income, place of residence, household size, individual needs, eating habits, access to shops, and many other circumstances all matter. Instead of comparing your spending with someone else's, you will learn to evaluate your own expenses and make decisions based on what is actually happening in your household.

It will also be important to accept that not every month can end with exactly the same result. Sometimes we will spend more because household members have been at home more often. On other occasions, we will need to replenish supplies or buy larger quantities of products that have simply run out. This does not automatically mean failure. The real problem begins when we do not know why we spent more, whether the expense was justified, or how it will affect the weeks ahead.

You do not need a doctorate in economics, three different apps, five colour-coded binders, or a family meeting where everyone must explain what happened to the last yoghurt. You need a few straightforward principles, a little consistency, and an honest look at how your household actually functions.

The most important thing is that your budget should help you regain control rather than become yet another obligation that is impossible to maintain. This is not about putting every single zloty on trial in front of an investigative committee. It is about knowing how much you can spend, what you are spending it on, and what to do when reality once again decides to change the rules of the game.

Because the shopping really was supposed to be bigger for this week only. But since this particular week seems to

have decided to stay with us for a little longer, it is probably time we finally learned how to manage it.

Chapter 1 - Why Shopping Always Costs More Than We Planned

There comes a point in the month when you begin to suspect that your money is disappearing in an organised fashion. There have been no spectacular purchases, nobody has bought a new television, there has been no spontaneous holiday or bathroom renovation. Nothing has happened that could be identified as the prime suspect. And yet your bank account looks as though someone has been regularly organising small parties there without inviting the account holder.

All it takes is a quick look through your transaction history. Monday - grocery store. Tuesday - bakery. Wednesday - drugstore. Thursday - grocery store again because a few things have run out. Friday - shopping after work because there was no time to prepare anything in advance. Saturday - a larger restocking trip. Sunday - one more little purchase that was supposed to be the last one. Every transaction looks reasonable. None seems particularly dangerous to the household budget. Only when you add them all together does it become apparent that common sense has apparently been working in instalments.

This is precisely why managing a household shopping budget can be more difficult than it initially appears. We do not have one large expense that is easy to notice and control. Instead, we have numerous smaller decisions made under different circumstances, by different people, and often without anyone being fully aware of how much money has already been spent. On top of that, shopping is not something we can simply cross off our calendars. It has to

be done, even when we have no desire, no time, and certainly no particular affection for current prices.

The Single-Receipt Trap

One of the most common mistakes is judging expenses based on individual shopping trips. We return from the store with a bag of products and a receipt for 180 zlotys. We acknowledge that it is quite a lot, but after all, we have bought things that should last for several days. The following day, we spend another 40 zlotys on missing products. Two days later, another 60. We do not treat these amounts as parts of one larger expense because each transaction had a different reason behind it.

Meanwhile, the household budget does not care whether we bought everything during one visit or spread our shopping across six different stores. From the perspective of our wallet, what matters is the total. It is a simple principle, but its consequences can be surprising. We may feel that we are only making small purchases while regularly exceeding our planned spending limit.

Imagine a household that plans to spend approximately 1,000 zlotys per month on shopping. The first major shopping trip costs 320 zlotys. Everything seems under control. Then come three smaller visits costing 70, 85, and 55 zlotys. After that, supplies need replenishing for another 180 zlotys, ingredients for dinner cost another 90, and household cleaning products add 120 zlotys. At this point, total spending has already reached 990 zlotys, even though the month may only be halfway through.

Nobody in this situation needs to be particularly extravagant. The problem is that we planned one spending

category but, in practice, made numerous independent decisions without monitoring their combined cost.

That is why the first change should be to stop thinking about shopping as a series of individual visits to stores. We need to look at the entire accounting period. If we establish a weekly budget, every purchase made during that week must be included. If we use a monthly budget, we add together all expenses from the first to the last day of the month, regardless of how many receipts we have collected.

It is also worth remembering that one large receipt does not necessarily represent a bigger problem than five smaller ones. Sometimes a larger shopping trip is simply more organised. We buy the products needed for several days instead of repeatedly returning to the store for individual items. We should not automatically consider large purchases bad and small ones safe. The total cost for the entire period and the contents of the shopping basket should determine how we evaluate our spending.

When Grocery Shopping Stops Being Grocery Shopping

Another source of confusion is the unclear boundary between different types of expenses. We enter a supermarket to buy ingredients for dinner, but along the way we also pick up washing-up liquid, toilet paper, toothpaste, batteries, and something for personal care. Everything ends up on the same receipt. When we get home, we record the entire amount as food expenditure.

A few weeks later, we analyse our budget and conclude that the cost of food has increased dramatically. In reality, some of the money went towards products that have nothing to do with preparing meals. The expenses are real, but their classification is incorrect.

There is no need to create dozens of categories or maintain accounting records accurate to the last pat of butter. It is enough to decide what belongs in our basic shopping budget and what we want to monitor separately.

A practical division might look like this:

- Food and beverages - products used to prepare meals and for everyday consumption.
- Household cleaning products - cleaning supplies, detergents, paper products, and items used to maintain the home.
- Personal hygiene - basic cosmetics, toothpaste, shampoos, and similar products.
- Additional purchases - sweets, snacks, occasional products, and items that were not part of the basic shopping plan.

There is no single mandatory way to divide these expenses. In one household, hygiene products may be purchased alongside food and included in the same budget. Another family may want to monitor food expenditure more precisely and therefore separate cleaning products and cosmetics. Both approaches are perfectly valid, provided the rules remain consistent.

The worst thing we can do is change the classification depending on how the results look. When food expenses are high, we move some products into the household category. When cleaning products exceed their limit, we start counting them as grocery shopping. In this way, we are not managing a budget. We are practising creative accounting whose only audience is our own conscience.

It is also important to distinguish food expenses from eating outside the home if we want to know how much it

actually costs to stock our household. A meal purchased while we are out may belong to our broader food budget, but its cost and nature are different from buying ingredients that will be used to prepare several dinners. We can combine these categories if that is our objective, but we should know exactly what we are measuring.

Why One Exceptional Week Lasts the Entire Month

Household shopping has another characteristic: spending is not distributed evenly. In one week, we spend very little because we already have supplies. In the next, several products run out almost simultaneously and need to be replaced. Later comes the time to replenish cleaning products, buy a larger quantity of meat, or purchase items that we only need occasionally.

If we look exclusively at one week, we can easily draw incorrect conclusions. We may consider a week costing 250 zlotys exemplary and the following week, in which we spent 550 zlotys, proof that we have lost control. Yet the second week may simply have included the restocking of products purchased once every few weeks.

Imagine a family that buys a larger supply of basic products at the beginning of the month. During the first week, it spends 600 zlotys. In the second week, it spends 280 zlotys, in the third 310 zlotys, and in the fourth 290 zlotys. The total comes to 1,480 zlotys. If the family treated the first week as its new standard, it might unnecessarily increase its planned budget. If, on the other hand, it considered the first week an unsuccessful shopping week, it might begin restricting spending in subsequent weeks, even though the overall cost was not the result of a one-off mistake.

For this reason, we need to distinguish between regular shopping and periodic restocking. This does not mean creating separate budgets for every type of product. It simply means understanding why a particular week was more expensive.

Three questions are particularly useful:

1. Did we buy more because we used more products than usual?
2. Did we buy more because prices were higher?
3. Did we buy more because we were replenishing our supplies in one go?

The answers can, of course, overlap. If more people are staying at home during a particular week and several basic products are running out at the same time, a higher bill has two legitimate causes. Exceeding the weekly limit does not, by itself, tell us that something has gone wrong.

Purchases Nobody Planned

Not every expense results from planned household needs. Some purchases happen because we see something attractive while shopping, remember a product that might come in handy, or react to an immediate need. Sometimes we buy things for perfectly reasonable reasons but in the wrong quantities. At other times, we make a decision simply because a product is discounted.

Purchases described as money-saving are particularly deceptive. We see a promotion on a product we use regularly and buy several packages. If we really do use them within a reasonable period and the price is favourable, it can be a good decision. But when we buy something simply because it costs less, we start confusing a low price with a low expense.

A product discounted to 12 zlotys from its original price of 18 does not mean we have saved 6 zlotys. We have only saved that amount compared with a situation in which we would have bought exactly the same product at its regular price. If we had not planned to buy it at all, we have actually spent an additional 12 zlotys. That is a distinction worth remembering.

This does not mean we should avoid promotions. It means we should check whether they address a genuine need. A good deal does not stop being a good deal simply because it was unplanned, but its value should be determined by whether we actually use the product afterwards, not by the red discount label on the shelf.

It is also worth noticing how much the timing of our decisions affects spending. Shopping in a hurry, after a long day, or without any idea of what we are going to eat often leads to random choices. This is not a character flaw. When we are tired, we are more likely to choose whatever solution is immediately available, even if it is more expensive or less carefully considered. Planning is not supposed to eliminate spontaneity. Its purpose is to reduce situations in which every decision requires us to reconsider the entire state of our household supplies.

Who Actually Does the Shopping?

In most households, more than one person spends money on household supplies. Even if one person is responsible for most of the shopping, other household members may independently buy bread, snacks, drinks, or products needed at short notice. There are also online purchases, deliveries, and occasional visits to shops that nobody considers the main way of replenishing supplies.

As a result, the person responsible for planning may not know the full amount being spent. They see their own receipts and conclude that everything is within the budget. Meanwhile, someone else is adding another few dozen zlotys every week.

There is little point in solving this problem by trying to monitor every transaction made by other people. A much more effective approach is to establish one shared rule: all expenses belonging to the household shopping budget should be visible in one place. They do not necessarily have to be recorded immediately after leaving the store, but they must be included in the final summary.

If everyone benefits from the shopping, everyone should also be able to understand the budget. It cannot exist exclusively in the mind of the person who remembers that we have already bought two packages of coffee this week and do not need a third. Other household members are not expected to read minds or know the current contents of the fridge.

It is also worth agreeing on what counts as a shared purchase and what should be treated as a personal expense. If one household member regularly buys products exclusively for themselves, those purchases can be recorded separately. This is not always necessary, but in some households it helps avoid a situation in which one person is held responsible for all expenses, even though not all the needs are shared.

Before You Start Saving, Find Out What You Actually Spend

Your first task should not be to reduce the budget. It should be to understand how you actually spend your

money. This may sound less spectacular, but it helps avoid one of the most expensive mistakes: cutting expenses that are not the main source of the problem in the first place.

For the next two to four weeks, record all purchases belonging to the category you have defined. Do not change your habits yet simply to produce a more attractive result. If you normally shop in several different places, continue doing so. If additional shopping trips happen, include them. The objective is to understand reality, not to organise a competition for the most disciplined consumer.

For each transaction, record the date, amount, and general nature of the purchase. A few simple labels will be enough: food, cleaning products, hygiene, and additional purchases. If you make a larger shopping trip, you can mark it as a restocking purchase. There is no need to copy every item from the receipt or analyse the price of every individual carrot.

At the end of each week, add up the expenses. Pay attention to the number of visits to shops, the proportion of additional purchases, and the situations that led to unplanned spending. After a few weeks, you will begin to notice patterns that are impossible to identify during a single visit to the store.

You may discover that the biggest problem is frequent small purchases. Perhaps a substantial part of your money disappears on promotional products that are never actually used. It is also possible that there is no particularly worrying spending pattern at all and that your previous limit was simply based on outdated prices and different household needs.

Each of these situations requires a different approach. There is no point in restricting additional purchases if most of the budget is being consumed by basic products. Likewise, there is no point blaming prices for every expense if a significant proportion of the spending comes from things we never intended to buy.

Honesty about your own data is essential. If you spend more, you should know why. If you buy too much, you should identify which products and decisions are causing the problem. If your budget is too low, you need to accept that rather than proving to yourself every week that this time you will definitely manage to stay within it.

A household shopping budget is not an assessment of your character. It does not tell you whether you are sensible, frugal, or sufficiently organised. It is a tool designed to show what everyday life in your household actually looks like when expressed in numbers.

And that is precisely where you should begin. Before deciding to spend less, find out what you are really spending your money on. Otherwise, you may spend an entire month fighting the wrong problem, only to discover with considerable satisfaction that your savings never appeared because you were chasing the wrong suspect from the very beginning.

Chapter 2 - How Much Does Your Household Really Cost?

There are two ways to answer the question of how much household shopping costs. The first is quick and usually not particularly useful. It involves throwing out an amount that sounds reasonable, such as 1,200 zlotys per month. The second requires a little thought but provides a much better starting point: checking how much money the household actually spends, how many people benefit from those purchases, and what circumstances influence the final bill.

The difference is fundamental. An amount chosen intuitively describes our idea of what expenses should look like. An amount based on observation describes reality. And reality is precisely what we will have to work with later.

Many people establish their shopping budget based on personal assumptions, past experiences, or amounts they remember spending in previous months. Sometimes they use the expenses of friends as a reference point. Someone mentions that a family of four manages to stay within a certain amount, so we decide that we should be able to do the same. What we do not know, however, is what products they buy, where they shop, how many meals they prepare at home, whether household cleaning products are included, or how often the household members are away.

Comparing amounts alone can therefore be extremely misleading. Two families with exactly the same number of people may have completely different needs. One spends most of the day away from home and mainly prepares evening meals. The other eats every meal at home. One buys larger quantities of products once a week, while the

other regularly replenishes supplies. One has children at an age when their appetite can change faster than their clothing size, while the other consists entirely of adults.

Instead of searching for a universal amount, we need to establish our own reference point.

The Number of Household Members Is Only the Beginning

The easiest assumption would be that shopping costs increase in direct proportion to the number of people. Two people need a certain quantity of products, four people need twice as much, and six people need three times as much. The mathematics looks beautiful, but everyday household life does not always feel obliged to cooperate with such an elegant model.

In many households, some expenses are shared regardless of how many people live there. We do not buy a separate bottle of washing-up liquid for every family member. We do not need four individual bottles of cooking oil, four packages of salt, or four separate containers of detergent simply because four people live under the same roof. Some products are used more quickly when there are more people in the household, but others remain shared expenses.

The same applies to food. A family of four does not necessarily use twice as much as a family of two. A great deal depends on age, activity levels, preferences, the number of meals prepared at home, and whether everyone eats similar things.

It is therefore worth looking at who actually benefits from household shopping. Official registration or the number of people listed in documents does not tell us everything. What

matters is who is actually present in the household and how they use its resources.

For example, one household consists of two adults and a child. The adults work outside the home, and the child spends part of the day at school. In another household, there are also two adults and a child, but everyone spends most of their time at home. Both households have three members, but their shopping needs may be significantly different.

An even more interesting situation arises when the number of people regularly staying at home changes. A child spends part of the week with the other parent. An adult works away from home periodically. Someone returns to the family home for several weeks. Guests arrive and stay for longer periods. Each of these situations can change how quickly products are used, even though the official number of household members remains unchanged.

A good starting point is therefore to prepare a short description of your household. It does not need to be a formal document or a detailed dietary profile. It is enough to establish:

- How many people regularly benefit from household shopping?
- Who spends most of the day at home?
- Does the number of household members change during the week or month?
- How many meals do we typically prepare at home?
- Does anyone have particular shopping needs that noticeably affect expenses?

The last question is important because needs are not always distributed evenly. Some products are purchased for

everyone, while others are intended for a particular person. If a child regularly needs certain products and one of the adults has different eating habits, we should not pretend that every shopping basket is identical.

This basic assessment helps us understand why another family's budget does not necessarily provide a suitable reference point for our own.

Your Household Has Its Own Rhythm, and Shopping Should Reflect It

The number of household members is one thing, but how they are present throughout the week is equally important. A household does not function independently of the calendar. There are days when everyone eats at home and days when most meals take place elsewhere. There are school weeks, holidays, periods of annual leave, and months when work schedules change for professional reasons.

Imagine a family that follows a fairly stable routine for most of the year. The adults leave for work in the morning, the child goes to school, and the shared meal is usually prepared in the evening. During the holidays, the situation changes. The child spends more time at home, and additional breakfasts, lunches, and snacks become part of everyday life. Suddenly, the household uses more bread, fruit, dairy products, and other groceries. The family does not necessarily buy more luxurious products or change its habits. It simply prepares more meals at home.

If we try to maintain exactly the same spending limit during this period, we may conclude that our shopping has spiralled out of control. In reality, the circumstances in which the household operates have changed.

One useful way to understand this relationship is to observe what we might call household days. This does not involve complicated calculations of every person's presence. It simply means making an approximate assessment of how often household members benefit from meals prepared at home.

For example, we may notice that during an ordinary week we prepare most lunches for three people on five days, while at weekends we cook for the entire family. In another week, everyone stays at home for all seven days. Such a week will naturally require a larger quantity of products.

If we want to compare different periods more precisely, we can use a simple measure called person-days. One person-day represents one person benefiting from the household's supplies for one day. Three people staying at home for seven days amount to 21 person-days. If one person is away for two days, the total falls to 19 person-days.

This is not a precise measure of food consumption. A child, an adult, and someone with entirely different needs will not use identical quantities of products. It also does not account for differences between meals prepared at home and meals eaten elsewhere. However, it can help us notice that two weeks with similar expenses did not necessarily involve similar circumstances.

For example, during the first week we spend 500 zlotys with 21 person-days. During the second week, we also spend 500 zlotys, but one household member was absent for most of the week, bringing the total down to 15 person-days. The second week was relatively more expensive when measured against the number of household members present. It is worth checking whether this resulted from