Solve your problem with a wink

My Watch Declared I'm Having a Bad Day

How to Read Sleep and Activity Data Without Letting It Dictate How You Feel



Max Paradox

My Watch Declared I'm Having a Bad Day

How to Read Sleep and Activity Data Without Letting It Dictate How You Feel

Max Paradox

PROBLEM? RELAX. YOU'VE GOT THIS!

ISBN: 9788384907443

ALL-IN Rafał Płonkowski



CONTENTS

INTRO 5

Chapter 1 - When the Score on Your Screen Becomes More Important Than How You Feel 10

Chapter 2 - What Does Your Watch Really Know About Your Sleep? 19

Chapter 3 - Stress According to Your Watch, or Why Your Wrist Doesn't Know Your Life 28

Chapter 4 - Perfect Score, Imperfect Life 37

Chapter 5 - Notifications That Won't Let You Rest 46

Chapter 6 - Why Does Constantly Checking Your Scores Teach You Less and Less? 55

Chapter 7 - When Healthy Habits Start to Resemble an Obsession 65

Chapter 8 - Your Watch Takes a Vacation: What We Learn When We Stop Measuring 74

Chapter 9 - Data, Context, Decision: How to Read Your Results Without Panicking 84

Chapter 10 - The Weekly Sleep Review: How to Find Patterns Instead of Looking for Someone to Blame 93

Chapter 11 - Activity Without the Step Counter Dictatorship: How to Plan Movement on Your Own Terms 102

Chapter 12 - Small Experiment, Big Difference: How to Find Out What Really Works for You 112

Chapter 13 - Your Personal Dashboard: Which Numbers Are Actually Worth Tracking? 122

Chapter 14 - A Morning Without a Verdict, an Evening Without a Reckoning..... 131

Chapter 15 - When Your Watch Displays Nonsense and You Start Believing It 140

Chapter 16 - Illness, Fatigue, and Difficult Weeks: When Statistics No Longer Fit Real Life 150

Chapter 17 - When a Healthy Approach Doesn't Work Out: How to Stop Constantly Trying to Fix Yourself.....160

Chapter 18 - How to Maintain a Healthy Distance When the Novelty Wears Off.....170

Chapter 19 - Your Watch Changed Its Mind. What About You? How to Stay Calm When Data No Longer Matches Your Expectations.....179

Chapter 20 - Your Watch Can Measure Your Day. It Doesn't Have to Decide Whether It Was Good.....189

INTRO

You wake up on a Saturday morning. Pleasant sunlight filters through the window, you have nowhere to rush off to, and your first thought is, "Wow, I slept really well!" You stretch with the satisfaction of someone who has just won a small, private lottery. You reach for your phone to check the time and, while you're at it, open your watch's app. Sleep score: 58 points. Recovery: poor. Readiness: low. Apparently, your body needs rest. You look at the screen, then at the ceiling, and then back at the screen. Thirty seconds ago, you felt fantastic. Now you're beginning to suspect that you're exhausted and simply hadn't been informed. Congratulations. You've just lost your morning to a device that doesn't even have eyelids.

It's one of the strangest situations in modern life. We buy smartwatches to understand our bodies better, and after a while, we start asking our watches how we actually feel. When the device displays a good score, we feel satisfied, even if getting out of bed felt like negotiating with our own spine. When it displays a terrible score, we start searching for symptoms that confirm its diagnosis. Did you yawn slightly? There you go! Don't feel like working? That explains everything! Fancy another coffee? The device predicted disaster. An ordinary day turns into a criminal investigation in which your body is the suspect and the star witness is a piece of electronics strapped to your wrist.

That doesn't mean sports watches, fitness trackers, and health apps are worthless. Quite the opposite. They can provide useful information about physical activity, rest, sleep regularity, and changes in heart rate. Some help us notice

patterns that are easy to overlook in the rush of everyday life. The problem begins when information stops being a useful clue and becomes a verdict. Your step count starts determining whether your day was successful. Your sleep score decides whether you're allowed to feel rested. Your recovery indicator determines whether you should go for a workout, even though your legs have their own rather firm opinion on the matter.

Let's establish something right away: your watch is not a tiny doctor with a medical degree hidden beneath its strap. Most popular consumer devices estimate sleep and other parameters using signals collected by sensors and interpreted through algorithms. They don't directly observe every process taking place inside your body, and they certainly don't know the full context of your life. A watch might detect a change in your heart rate, but it doesn't know whether you spent the previous evening worrying about an important conversation, caring for a sick child, or simply watching a television series that ended its episode at the most outrageously inconvenient moment imaginable. The resulting score may be useful, but it isn't the complete story of a human being.

So why do we surrender so much authority over our feelings to numbers? Because numbers look convincing. They're organized, colorful, precise, and often presented with a degree of accuracy that creates an impression of scientific certainty. Seventy-three points sounds considerably more serious than "I think I slept pretty well." A graph looks more professional than a memory of a peaceful morning. And a green progress bar can produce more satisfaction than the walk that filled it in the first place. The

human brain likes clear answers, particularly when life mostly provides questions and bills that need paying.

Then there's the initially innocent desire to improve our habits. You begin by checking your steps. Next, you become interested in how long you sleep. Soon, you're examining sleep stages, heart rate variability, training load, stress levels, and readiness scores. Every additional metric promises another piece of valuable knowledge. Eventually, however, you may discover that instead of simply living your life, you're managing your body like a corporation in which every department submits daily reports and the CEO is permanently dissatisfied. Even relaxation becomes another task to complete. Preferably efficiently, on schedule, and with confirmation recorded in the app.

The particularly ironic part is that trying to improve your health can begin to undermine your enjoyment of life. You go to bed earlier, but before falling asleep, you wonder whether you'll manage to achieve a respectable sleep score. You wake up during the night and calculate how many points you've just lost. You go for a walk, but instead of enjoying your surroundings, you keep checking whether the step counter is recording everything correctly. When your device fails to register an activity, you experience the absurd feeling that some of your effort has somehow been wasted. As though your muscles were contractually obliged to recognize only movements officially approved by the manufacturer's server.

This book won't encourage you to throw your watch into a drawer or declare every measurement a technological scam. The goal is something considerably more sensible: learning to use data without treating it like a supervisor to whom you must explain your physical and emotional

condition every morning. We'll examine what individual indicators can tell you, what they cannot establish, and why a single reading often means far less than a longer-term pattern. You'll learn to distinguish signals worth paying attention to from ordinary fluctuations that don't require you to immediately reorganize your entire day.

Just as importantly, we'll explore how to recognize the point at which monitoring begins influencing your behavior more strongly than your actual needs. After several difficult nights, reducing the intensity of a workout might be a perfectly reasonable decision. But abandoning an enjoyable walk solely because an app displays a red symbol is another matter. These decisions may look similar on the surface, yet their underlying reasons are very different. In the first case, you're considering information, personal experience, and context. In the second, you're allowing a simplified indicator to replace your own assessment of the situation. Learning to recognize that distinction will be central to our approach, without swinging to the opposite extreme of ignoring everything inconvenient.

Nor will we pretend that feeling good always means being perfectly healthy. Subjective sensations can be misleading, just as measurements from consumer devices can be. Persistent worrying symptoms, unusual changes, or ongoing sleep problems deserve appropriate medical evaluation, not a showdown between your intuition and an app. Your watch may provide additional observations worth discussing with a healthcare professional, but it shouldn't independently determine a diagnosis or treatment. Using technology wisely also means understanding its limitations.

Let's begin with one small change you can put into practice tomorrow morning. Before checking your sleep

score, take a moment to assess how you actually feel. Notice whether you're sleepy, refreshed, tense, or energetic, and pay attention to how your body responds. Only then look at the data. If the two assessments disagree, you don't have to immediately declare a winner. Treat the discrepancy as another piece of information whose significance can be considered in a broader context. The point isn't to ignore measurements. It's to preserve room for personal experience that no graph can ever describe completely.

Ultimately, the goal isn't to achieve perfect scores every single day. Life isn't a competition to produce the prettiest statistics dashboard, and a good day doesn't require a certificate issued by your wrist. Technology can help you make smarter decisions, recognize useful patterns, and take better care of yourself. But it should remain a tool, not the final authority on every emotion and every morning decision. So if you wake up tomorrow in a wonderful mood and your watch announces that things are looking disastrous, you don't have to immediately change your mind. You can calmly get up, stretch, and get on with your day.

Somehow, the device will survive this outrageous act of disobedience.

Chapter 1 - When the Score on Your Screen Becomes More Important Than How You Feel

On Monday morning, Marek walks into the office looking like a man who has just received some disturbing news from his own body. A colleague asks if everything is all right. Marek sighs and announces that his recovery level is only 42 percent. His colleague nods sympathetically, although she has absolutely no idea what that means. Marek isn't particularly sure either, but the app used orange, and apparently orange comes with an obligation to suffer. Before entering the building, he felt perfectly normal. Now he's sitting at his computer wondering whether he should cancel his afternoon meeting, skip his workout, and warn his family that tonight's dinner will take place against a backdrop of biological crisis. All because the device on his wrist has declared his body unprepared for Monday. Which, to be fair, doesn't require particularly advanced algorithms.

This story is fictional, but the mechanism it illustrates deserves attention. Modern devices can describe our everyday functioning through numbers, graphs, and scores. That's convenient because phenomena that are difficult to pin down suddenly acquire seemingly concrete shapes. Sleep becomes a numerical score, fatigue becomes a recovery indicator, and movement becomes a step count. The problem arises when we begin treating these descriptions as definitive judgments to which our own experiences must submit. An indicator originally intended to provide information starts influencing our decisions, our

mood, and the way we interpret signals coming from our bodies.

This usually doesn't happen overnight. After all, nobody buys a smartwatch with the intention of appointing it personal director of emotional and physical well-being. At first, we check the data out of curiosity. We want to know how far we've walked, how long we've slept, or how our heart rate changed during exercise. Then we discover that the device doesn't merely display measurements. It interprets them, too. It assigns scores, awards badges, identifies trends, and recommends actions. This is where a subtle shift begins: instead of asking what the data means, we increasingly ask what we're supposed to feel because of it.

The Number That Looks Like Absolute Truth

Imagine receiving two pieces of information. The first says, "You probably didn't rest particularly well." The second says, "Sleep quality: 61/100." Which sounds more credible? Probably the second, because the number creates the impression of a precise measurement. The difficulty is that a numerical score is often produced by combining several parameters according to rules developed by the manufacturer. It isn't a universal unit of sleep quality in the way a meter is a unit of length. A score of 61 in one app doesn't necessarily mean the same thing as 61 in another.

A number that looks precise can therefore describe something whose actual significance is considerably less clear-cut. The device estimates certain parameters from the signals it collects. An algorithm converts those signals into a score, and the interface presents the result in an understandable, attractive format. Meanwhile, the user sees a finished number, often without detailed knowledge of how

it was calculated. It's rather like a restaurant giving every customer a dinner score based on the number of bites they chewed, how quickly they ate, and how long they remained at the table. You could draw certain observations from those measurements, but the taste of the food would remain a considerably more complicated matter.

This doesn't mean the score is random or useless. It may help you notice changes and compare similar periods. If a particular indicator steadily deteriorates over an extended period, it's worth considering whether your habits, workload, or resting conditions have changed. A single number separated from its context, however, has limited value. It cannot tell you everything about the quality of your day, your energy level, or your body's actual needs.

Another important consideration is how we describe what a measurement means. The sentence "My watch displayed a low recovery score" describes a fact about the device. The sentence "I'm poorly recovered today" is already an interpretation about the person wearing it. The two statements may be connected, but they aren't identical. This small difference in wording matters in practice because it leaves room for other information instead of immediately accepting the score as the final assessment of the situation.

How We Start Looking for Confirmation

When we receive a suggestion that something is wrong, it's easy to begin paying particular attention to signals that seem to confirm it. If an app claims that you had a bad night, every yawn suddenly becomes significant. If it displays high readiness, you might interpret ordinary energy as proof of exceptional fitness. This isn't a deliberate attempt to deceive yourself. It's a natural tendency to notice information that agrees with an expectation we've already formed.

Suppose Anna spends a peaceful evening, goes to bed at a reasonable hour, and gets up the next morning without much difficulty. After checking her app, however, she learns that she supposedly had surprisingly little deep sleep. She begins analyzing whether she actually rested properly. On her way to work, she experiences mild sleepiness that she would previously have considered a perfectly ordinary part of the morning. Now it becomes evidence of inadequate recovery. Over the following hours, Anna checks how she feels more frequently, and the more closely she examines her condition, the more minor imperfections she notices.

What's particularly interesting about this mechanism is that the measurement doesn't have to be completely wrong to create unnecessary tension. We only need to give it too much importance. The night may genuinely have been different from others, but that doesn't automatically mean the entire day will be unsuccessful. Human beings can function under a variety of conditions, and how they feel depends on numerous factors. One score may be part of the picture, but it shouldn't replace the whole picture.

It also helps to distinguish an observation from a prediction. Information about recorded sleep duration concerns the past. A message suggesting low readiness already refers to how you might function in the future. The further we move from measurement toward prediction, the more assumptions and uncertainty enter the equation. Yet the interface often presents everything in an equally convincing format. The numbers are large, the colors are decisive, and the message sounds as though the device has just completed a consultation with an entire team of specialists.

A Day You Have to Pass

A similar mechanism applies to physical activity. Setting a step goal can be useful because it reminds you to move and helps you understand what your daily activity looks like. Trouble begins when the number becomes the only criterion for judging the day. Nine thousand steps means success, while eight thousand nine hundred and ninety-nine suggests failure. Your body, however, knows nothing about this boundary. Your muscles don't go on strike over a missing step, and your heart doesn't file a complaint with the watch manufacturer.

Imagine someone who has spent the entire day looking after children, working, shopping, and cleaning the apartment. By evening, they're exhausted, but the app informs them that they haven't achieved their daily target. Instead of recognizing the effort they've actually made, they begin to feel dissatisfied. They go out for another short walk, even though all they dream about is resting. The walk itself isn't necessarily a bad thing. The problem is the reason behind it: the desire to avoid the guilt created by an unfinished progress indicator.

Numerical targets work best when they support sensible behavior rather than turning it into an obligation regardless of circumstances. Moving regularly can be valuable even when you don't achieve identical results every day. A day of illness, excessive strain, or unusually demanding responsibilities doesn't become a wasted day simply because the graph looks less impressive. It's also worth remembering that watches don't record every type of activity equally well. Some activities may be underestimated, while others may be counted inaccurately. If your satisfaction depends exclusively on the reading, you're surrendering part of the judgment of your own effort to

technology that doesn't always see what you're actually doing.

You can recognize this dependence through a characteristic reaction: when the device fails to record an activity, the disappointment feels disproportionate to what happened. After all, the effort still took place. Your body worked, you invested the time, and the experience remains. The only thing missing is digital confirmation. If you nevertheless feel that the workout was somehow less valuable, it's worth considering when measurement began replacing the original reason you started moving in the first place.

Three Questions Before Changing Your Plans

A useful starting point is to introduce a small pause between seeing a score and making a decision. You don't have to stop checking your data. You simply need to avoid automatically following every suggestion as though it came from a manager authorized to run your entire body.

When a score makes you anxious or tempts you to change your plans, consider three questions:

1. What exactly was measured or estimated? Separate a specific parameter from the assessment created by the algorithm.
2. Do my feelings and circumstances support this information? Consider sleepiness, pain, fatigue, workload, and your own experience.
3. Does the score indicate a genuine need for action, or is it merely triggering an emotional reaction? Think about what you would actually change if you hadn't seen the number.

This isn't a method for diagnosing health problems on your own. If you experience concerning symptoms, the decision to seek medical help shouldn't depend on whether your watch displays a favorable score. These questions are intended to help you distinguish everyday information from hasty interpretations.

Let's return to Marek and his Monday morning recovery crisis. If he felt fine before looking at the app, there's no reason to immediately cancel all his plans because of a single score. He can consider the previous night's sleep, take his recent workload into account, and observe how he functions throughout the day. If he's genuinely exhausted, rest may be the appropriate decision. If, however, he notices no significant problems, he can treat the score as one piece of information rather than an order.

The difference may seem small, but it changes how we use technology. In the first situation, a person asks, "What should I do now that my watch thinks I'm tired?" In the second, they ask, "Does this score help me understand my needs better?" The first question hands control to the device. The second keeps control with the user.

That doesn't mean you should always trust how you feel more than the data. Sometimes we become accustomed to excessive strain and fail to notice gradual changes. Our emotions can also temporarily influence how we assess our energy. That's precisely why it's worth using several sources of information and paying attention to recurring patterns. Data can help us notice something important, provided we don't demand that it answer every possible question.

In practice, you can spend a few days noticing which emotions appear immediately after checking your sleep or

activity score. You don't need to keep an elaborate journal. Simply notice whether the result reassures you, disappoints you, makes you feel ashamed, or encourages you to change your plans immediately. Particularly interesting are situations in which your mood changes only after opening the app. Observing this can help you recognize whether the device genuinely supports your decisions or mainly passes judgment on the day you're already living.

You can also try a simple comparison. On one day, note how you feel before checking your results, then see whether the numbers add anything useful. The goal isn't to prove that intuition is superior to technology. Sometimes the data will draw your attention to a genuine problem; at other times, it will reveal nothing more than a minor variation. What matters most is understanding why you're changing a decision. A conscious choice is different from an automatic reaction to a colorful notification.

Your watch can remain an interesting companion in everyday life. It can remind you to move, help you observe sleep regularity, and reveal changes that might otherwise be difficult to notice. But it doesn't need the authority to deliver final judgments on your life. A good day may include a disappointing activity score, while a difficult day may end with perfectly closed activity rings. Numbers describe selected fragments of reality. What you've done, experienced, and actually need is far broader.

The most mature relationship with such a device begins when you can look at a score, understand what it might mean, and calmly decide whether it requires a response. Without ignoring important signals, but also without allowing them to govern every moment. If your app declares your day mediocre while you've thoroughly enjoyed it, there's no

need to file an appeal. No committee is going to revoke your right to satisfaction.

Chapter 2 - What Does Your Watch Really Know About Your Sleep?

On the screen, you see an elegant graph. Dark blue sections represent deep sleep, lighter ones indicate light sleep, and other colors mark the REM stage. Everything looks so professional that it's difficult to resist the impression that an invisible laboratory technician sat beside your bed all night, meticulously recording every movement of your brain. In reality, the only witness was a watch pressed against your wrist, spending several hours trying to work out what was happening throughout your entire body. It's an impressive task, but it's worth remembering that there's an important difference between recording signals and accurately identifying the structure of sleep.

To use this kind of data properly, you need to understand where it comes from. Popular smartwatches and fitness trackers don't observe sleep in the same way as specialized examinations performed under appropriate conditions. Depending on the model, they use motion sensors, optical heart rate measurements, and additional information about physiological changes, among other things. Algorithms then analyze patterns and attempt to determine when the user was asleep, when they woke up, and what the different stages of rest might have looked like.

It's worth pausing over the word "attempt." It doesn't mean the devices are useless or that manufacturers randomly generate results before breakfast. It does mean, however, that a substantial portion of the information they present is estimated. The device measures specific signals and then uses them to draw conclusions about processes it

doesn't directly observe. In everyday use, these approximations can be helpful, but they shouldn't be confused with a comprehensive sleep examination.

What's Happening Behind the Screen?

One of the primary sources of information is movement. A device may use an accelerometer to register changes in the position of your wrist. If you remain relatively motionless for an extended period, the algorithm may conclude that you're probably asleep. If you move frequently, it may suspect that you've awakened or that the nature of your sleep has changed. The problem is that a person can lie perfectly still without sleeping. They can also move while asleep. Movement alone, therefore, isn't enough to identify every state accurately.

Think about an evening when you're lying in bed reading a book. For forty minutes, you barely move because the story is captivating, and the only significant physical activity involves turning pages. Under certain conditions, some devices may classify part of this inactivity as sleep. Conversely, a nighttime expedition to get a glass of water may be detected as an awakening, although not every brief period of wakefulness will necessarily be identified with equal accuracy. The algorithm has no access to your thoughts. It doesn't know that you're contemplating the ending of a novel or wondering why your refrigerator sounds like an aircraft preparing for takeoff.

Another important source of information is heart rate. Many watches use optical technology that analyzes changes in light reflected by tissues to estimate your pulse. Changes in heart rate and certain other parameters can help algorithms recognize patterns associated with rest. However, the accuracy of such measurements depends on