

Max Paradox

PROBLEM? RELAX. YOU'VE GOT THIS!

A tongue-in-cheek guide series ;)

My Home Won't Sort Itself Out, Unfortunately

**How to Divide Household Chores and Stop
Remembering Everything for Everyone**



**We've got a problem. But relax. It can be solved—
and we'll even have something to laugh about.**

My Home Won't Sort Itself Out, Unfortunately

How to Divide Household Chores and Stop
Remembering Everything for Everyone

Max Paradox

PROBLEM? RELAX. YOU'VE GOT THIS!

CONTENTS

INTRO	4
Chapter 1 - Who Is Actually Running This House?	9
Chapter 2 - Helping Is Not Owning.....	17
Chapter 3 - Your Home Cannot Read Your Mind	27
Chapter 4 - Fair Does Not Mean Fifty-Fifty	37
Chapter 5 - If You Always Do It Better	49
Chapter 6 - "Later" Is Not a Deadline	61
Chapter 7 - The List Is Not the System.....	74
Chapter 8 - Do Not Start the Conversation Over a Full Sink	90
Chapter 9 - Define the Household Minimum	104
Chapter 10 - Give Everything an Address	118
Chapter 11 - Stop Being the Human Reminder App	134
Chapter 12 - Make Fewer Decisions.....	148
Chapter 13 - Reset Before You Start Firefighting	163
Chapter 14 - Rotate Before You Resent It.....	176
Chapter 15 - What If You Are the Only One Who Wants Things to Change?.....	191
Chapter 16 - A Bad Week Does Not Erase the System.....	205
Chapter 17 - Not Everything Needs to Happen Today	220
Chapter 18 - Learn the Early Warning Signs.....	235
Chapter 19 - Stop Being the Shift Manager	251
Chapter 20 - The House Must Work Without a Hero	266

INTRO

It is Saturday morning, the hour when people in advertisements are apparently drinking coffee in spotless kitchens while sunlight lands artistically on a bowl of fruit. In your kitchen, the fruit has been moved three times because nobody knows where it belongs, there is one mug beside the sink that has achieved permanent residency, and somebody has just asked, "Are we out of dishwasher tablets?" You know the answer. Of course you know the answer. You also know there are two laundry loads waiting, someone needs to buy dog food, the bathroom hand towels should probably be changed, there is a parcel to return before Tuesday, and the thing making a suspicious noise under the sink has now been promoted from "probably fine" to "we should really look at that." Congratulations. You are not having a Saturday morning. You are running household operations.

The strange part is that you may not even be the person doing every chore. Other people cook. They vacuum. They take out the trash. They will absolutely go to the store if asked. In fact, they may sincerely believe they are contributing quite a lot, and they may be right. The problem is that you are still the one noticing that the trash needs to go out, deciding when the shopping needs to happen, remembering which detergent is nearly gone, checking whether anyone has booked the appointment, and answering questions such as, "Where do we keep the spare batteries?" despite the batteries having lived in the same drawer since a previous government. The physical work may be shared. The mental control room is not.

That is how a perfectly ordinary home quietly acquires a manager. No interview. No salary negotiation. No tasteful little plaque on the door saying HEAD OF DOMESTIC OPERATIONS. You simply become the person who knows. You know what is running low, what is overdue, what has been washed but not put away, what is meant to happen tomorrow, who is supposed to call whom, and why nobody should use the last clean towel because there is no laundry detergent until someone goes shopping. Other people may live in the same house. You, meanwhile, are running a small invisible airport where every domestic flight lands in your brain.

This is exhausting in a very specific way because remembering does not look like work. Nobody sees you sitting on the sofa and thinks, "Look at that person heroically carrying the household." You look as if you are scrolling on your phone. Meanwhile your mind is running six background processes: tomorrow's lunch, the vet appointment, the spare key, the school form, whether the freezer contains anything useful, and the fact that someone said "remind me later" three hours ago. You can technically be resting while still being mentally on duty. This is the domestic version of being off work while your boss keeps sending messages, except the boss is your own memory and it knows where you sleep.

Naturally, the first solution most people try is asking for more help. This sounds reasonable. It is also where things get interesting. "Can you help more around the house?" often leads to the surprisingly cheerful answer, "Sure. Just tell me what needs doing." At which point the person asking for relief realizes they have accidentally hired an employee and kept the management role. Now, in addition to noticing and planning the work, you must assign it. "Could you put on

a wash?" "Which clothes?" "Could you pick up groceries?" "What do we need?" "Could you sort the kitchen?" "What exactly do you want done?" After three rounds of this, doing it yourself starts to look less like martyrdom and more like a time-saving feature.

Then comes the second trap: speed. If you have been managing the home for years, you are probably very good at many of its routines. You know which cupboard contains the cleaning cloths, how much food gets eaten in a week, which setting the washing machine needs, how to stack the dishwasher so that bowls do not emerge carrying yesterday's soup as a souvenir, and which errands can be combined on the way home. Somebody else starts doing the same task more slowly, less neatly, or in a sequence that causes you mild physical discomfort, and your hand moves almost automatically. "Here, let me." Efficient. Fast. Completely fatal to any attempt at sharing responsibility.

This is why the problem survives even in homes full of decent, capable people. It is not always laziness. It is often a system that has taught one person to anticipate and everybody else to respond. The more reliably one person remembers, the less anyone else has to. The faster one person rescues unfinished tasks, the fewer consequences anyone else experiences. The more detailed the instructions, the easier it becomes to wait for instructions. Nobody necessarily sat down and designed this arrangement. Most dysfunctional household systems were not designed at all. They simply developed, like the mysterious kitchen drawer containing batteries, takeaway menus, a screwdriver, fourteen elastic bands and a key nobody is willing to throw away.

There is another complication: people do not agree on what "done" means. "Clean the kitchen" sounds clear until one person wipes the counters and considers civilization restored, while another is staring at the full sink, the crumbs under the toaster and the pan soaking so long it is becoming an archaeological site. "I'll do it later" may mean after dinner to one person and some time before the collapse of the sun to another. Even words like clean, tidy, finished and urgent can become surprisingly political when two people share a home. You can have a forty-minute argument about a laundry basket without either person technically arguing about a laundry basket.

This book is not going to turn your household into a corporate headquarters where someone holds a Monday morning stand-up beside the refrigerator and reports that bathroom performance is currently below target. You do not need sixteen color-coded categories for socks. You do not need to track mop utilization. You definitely do not need a quarterly review with the person who forgot to replace the toilet roll. The point is not to organize every minute of domestic life. It is to stop one person from being the place where all the unfinished business goes to wait.

We will deal with the work you can see and the work you cannot. We will separate helping from actually owning a task, look at why people resist responsibility even when they are not trying to be difficult, and figure out what to do when standards are different, routines collapse, one person keeps rescuing everybody, or the famous phrase "I'll do it in a minute" enters its fourth hour. More importantly, we will build ways of working that survive tired Tuesdays, busy weeks, imperfect people, and homes that continue

producing dishes even after a very reasonable request that they stop.

You will not be asked to become more disciplined, more grateful, more patient, more mindful and somehow also better at folding fitted sheets. The goal is practical: fewer things stored in one person's head, fewer repeated instructions, fewer chores that only happen after a reminder, and more responsibility that actually stays where it was placed. Sometimes the solution will be a conversation. Sometimes it will be changing the way a task is handed over. Sometimes it will be lowering a ridiculous standard. Occasionally the solution will be something embarrassingly simple, such as putting the basket where people actually drop the laundry rather than where an aesthetically ambitious person once decided laundry baskets ought to live.

And if you are currently the person who remembers everything, the goal is not to train everyone else until they do things exactly your way. That would merely upgrade you from household manager to household consultant, which sounds more prestigious but still involves answering questions about detergent. The real goal is to become unnecessary in areas that should never have depended on you alone.

Your home will not sort itself out.

Unfortunately.

But that does not mean you have to spend the rest of your life sorting it out for everyone.

Chapter 1 - Who Is Actually Running This House?

It is 6:18 p.m. Someone opens the fridge and asks, "What are we having for dinner?" This is, technically, a question about food. It may also be the moment when your eye starts twitching. Because the person asking is standing three feet away from the same fridge you have both owned for years, looking at the same shelves, and somehow the question has arrived at you as though you are the official spokesperson for evening nutrition.

You know what is in there. Of course you do. There are eggs, half a cucumber, two slices of cheese, a jar of something that should probably be investigated by a small scientific committee, and no bread. You also know that someone was supposed to buy groceries yesterday, that the milk will not make it through breakfast, and that there is chicken in the freezer but it is currently as useful for dinner as a paving stone. The other person may be perfectly willing to cook. They may even say, "Just tell me what to make." That sounds helpful until you notice that your brain has once again been promoted to Head of Planning, Procurement and Menu Strategy.

This is where household arguments become confusing. One person says, "I do plenty around here." The other says, "I have to think about everything." Both statements can be true. One person may genuinely vacuum, wash dishes, take packages to the post office and cook twice a week. The other may still be the one who notices when vacuuming is needed, remembers that the detergent is nearly gone, knows when the return deadline expires, works out which two

nights need dinner planned in advance, and says, "Can you do that today?" before any of it happens.

The first problem to solve is not who does more.

It is what counts as work.

Most homes are very good at counting visible actions. A floor gets vacuumed. A bag gets carried out. A meal appears. Laundry moves from machine to rack. These are easy to see because something physically changes. The floor looks better, the trash disappears, people stop asking when dinner is ready. Mental work is less theatrical. Nobody applauds when you notice on Tuesday that the cat food will run out on Friday and add it to an order before Friday becomes an emergency involving a disappointed animal and a convenience store.

There are usually at least three parts to a household task: noticing it, doing it, and closing it. Noticing means recognizing that action is needed. Doing means the obvious middle bit. Closing means making sure the task is actually finished rather than abandoned at ninety percent, where domestic chores go to become permanent fixtures.

Take laundry. "I did the laundry" can mean several different things. One version is noticing the hamper is full, checking that the clothes can go together, making sure detergent exists, running the load, moving it before it acquires the fragrance of Forgotten Swamp, drying it, folding it and putting it away. Another version is pressing Start after someone else has already sorted the clothes and called from the hallway, "Can you switch the machine on?"

Both are actions.

Only one is ownership.

The same applies to groceries. Going to the store is work. But someone may have already checked the fridge, planned meals, noticed the missing basics, added toilet paper, remembered that guests are coming Saturday, and sent a complete list. If the shopper returns proudly carrying six bags, they have still contributed real effort. They just have not necessarily carried the whole job.

This distinction matters because arguments based only on completed actions tend to become absurd accounting disputes. "I emptied the dishwasher twice." "Yes, but I loaded it four times." "I cleaned the bathroom." "I bought all the cleaning supplies." Five minutes later you are basically accountants in a small hostile merger, except the disputed asset is a sponge.

A more useful approach is to map responsibility instead of scoring points.

Pick five recurring household areas. Not every tiny chore. Just areas large enough to matter: meals, laundry, groceries, cleaning, bills, pets, children, household supplies, maintenance, appointments. For each one, ask three questions: Who notices? Who does? Who makes sure it is complete?

Do this without using the exercise as evidence for the prosecution.

If the conversation begins with "I knew it, look at this," you have transformed a useful map into a courtroom exhibit. The point is not to produce a winner. There is no trophy for Most Overworked Resident. If there were, it would probably need dusting.

You are looking for patterns.