PROBLEM? RELAX. YOU'VE GOT THIS!

I'm My Own Boss and Have No Backup for Being Human

How to Prepare a Small Business for Illness or Sudden Absence, Safeguard Customer Service and Access to Essential Operations Without Relying on Your Own Invincibility



Max Paradox

I'm My Own Boss and Have No Backup for Being Human

How to Prepare a Small Business for Illness or Sudden Absence, Safeguard Customer Service and Access to Essential Operations Without Relying on Your Own Invincibility

Max Paradox

PROBLEM? RELAX. YOU'VE GOT THIS!

ISBN: 9788384839164

ALL-IN Rafał Płonkowski



CONTENTS

INTRO 5

Chapter 1 - Your Business Runs Beautifully, As Long As You're There 10

Chapter 2 - Your Calendar Doesn't Call in Sick 19

Chapter 3 - Everything Is Under Control. Unfortunately, All the Controls Are in Your Head 28

Chapter 4 - Five Emergency Plans That Work Perfectly Until There's an Emergency 37

Chapter 5 - Stop Looking for Your Doppelgänger 46

Chapter 6 - The Midnight Reply That Turned Your Phone into a Customer-Service Department 55

Chapter 7 - Your Income Is on Sick Leave. Your Bills Refuse to Join It 65

Chapter 8 - The Owner Is Unavailable. The Website Is Having Its Best Day Ever 74

Chapter 9 - Instructions for the Day You Cannot Give Instructions 84

Chapter 10 - The First 24 Hours: Prevent the Second Emergency 93

Chapter 11 - Hand Over the Project, Not a Detective Novel 103

Chapter 12 - A Spare Key Doesn't Make Someone the Boss 113

Chapter 13 - Day Six: The Emergency Is Still Here, and Your Business Has Started Making Plans Without You 123

Chapter 14 - Rehearse the Emergency Before Reality Demands a Performance 133

Chapter 15 - When Your Backup Plan Needs a Backup Plan 144

Chapter 16 - The Customer Is Sorry You're Sick. They Still Want Their Money Back 153

Chapter 17 - I'll Be Back on Monday. Just Don't Ask Which One 163

Chapter 18 - Welcome Back. Please Don't Try to Do Six Weeks of Work Before Lunch 172

Chapter 19 - Your Business Has Changed. Your Emergency Plan Still Lives in Last Year 182

Chapter 20 - I'm My Own Boss. I Can Finally Afford to Be Human 191

INTRO

At 7:15 on a Wednesday morning, three dogs are waiting for their usual walk. Their owners have already left for work, the weather is surprisingly pleasant, and every member of the canine community is fully prepared to inspect the neighborhood's latest developments. There is just one problem. The owner of the small dog-walking business responsible for collecting them is in an emergency department with a badly injured ankle. Her phone is inside a locker, her appointment schedule is accessible only through her personal account, and the spare keys to two customers' homes are locked in her apartment. Nobody has done anything particularly foolish. Nobody has deliberately ignored an obvious danger. Yet a business that worked perfectly yesterday has suddenly become a complicated puzzle involving anxious customers, unattended animals, and one entrepreneur who really should be concentrating on her ankle.

This is an uncomfortable feature of many small businesses: their greatest strength can also be their most serious vulnerability. Customers appreciate dealing directly with the owner. Decisions happen quickly, personal attention creates loyalty, and there is no complicated management structure between a question and an answer. You know your customers, understand your commitments, and can solve problems without asking six departments for permission. Unfortunately, the same arrangement can mean that everything stops when you do. Your expertise, relationships, records, and authority have become concentrated in one person. That person also needs sleep, occasionally gets ill, and possesses a body that has never signed a contract promising uninterrupted commercial availability.

When you started working for yourself, you probably imagined greater independence. You wanted control over your schedule,

your decisions, and perhaps the delightful possibility of attending a weekday appointment without submitting a leave request to someone who enjoys spreadsheets too much. Then your business became successful enough to acquire customers, deadlines, recurring expenses, and expectations. Gradually, you became the person who opens every door, answers every question, remembers every exception, and authorizes every change. Technically, you have no boss. In practice, you have created one of the most demanding employers imaginable, with remarkably poor sick-leave arrangements and an alarming tendency to contact staff outside working hours. Its entire workforce consists of you.

The solution is not necessarily hiring a replacement. That would be impractical for many sole traders, independent professionals, and owners of very small companies. Some services depend on your particular qualifications or abilities. Some customers specifically want you, not a reasonably convincing substitute. There may be no financial justification for maintaining permanent cover for an event that might happen only occasionally. But protecting a business does not always mean keeping every service running. Sometimes it means making sure customers receive accurate information, existing commitments are handled responsibly, essential records remain accessible to authorized people, and no new promises are made when nobody can deliver them.

Unfortunately, many of our favorite emergency arrangements are built on optimism wearing a business suit. We assume we will still be able to make a few calls if something happens. We tell a relative where the important documents are, approximately. We identify a friendly colleague who might help, provided they are available, interested, qualified, and not currently enjoying a holiday on another continent. We keep passwords somewhere memorable, which usually means somewhere nobody else could locate without examining our personality in considerable depth. These arrangements can work under favorable circumstances. The

trouble is that an emergency is not especially interested in providing favorable circumstances.

A useful continuity plan has to survive the possibility that you cannot explain it. It needs to distinguish urgent responsibilities from work that can safely wait. It should clarify what another person may do, what requires specific authorization, and which decisions must remain unresolved until the appropriate person is available. Access to a computer is not the same as legal authority to act. Knowing a banking password does not automatically entitle somebody to use the account. Having a customer's contact details does not mean those details can be distributed freely. These distinctions matter because solving an absence problem by creating a security breach or an unauthorized financial commitment is not particularly impressive crisis management.

Money introduces its own complications. Revenue may stop almost immediately when you are unable to work, while rent, subscriptions, loan payments, and other obligations continue according to their existing terms. A healthy order book does not guarantee sufficient cash for the next payment, especially if customers have not yet settled their invoices. You may also have deposits connected to work that has not been delivered. This book will help you examine those practical pressures without pretending that every small business can simply save a year's expenses or purchase an elaborate emergency service. Where legal, financial, tax, or insurance decisions depend on individual circumstances, proper professional advice remains essential.

Customers, meanwhile, have their own legitimate concerns. They may care about your wellbeing, but they also need to know what will happen to their bookings, purchases, or projects. Consider the dog owners from our opening scene. They do not need access to the business owner's medical records. They need to know whether their animals will receive the agreed care, whether a suitable alternative is genuinely available, and what arrangements

must be made if the service cannot proceed. Clear communication will not eliminate every inconvenience or contractual consequence. It can, however, prevent uncertainty from spreading and stop customers from making decisions based on promises nobody is in a position to honor.

There is another issue that deserves attention: emergencies rarely respect the duration we assign to them. A brief illness can become a longer absence. A person who agrees to cover two days may not be available for two weeks. A system that works wonderfully during a planned holiday may fail when the owner cannot even unlock a phone. Preparation must therefore include reasonable limits and a way of recognizing when existing arrangements are no longer adequate. You do not need an elaborate response to every imaginable disaster. You need an honest understanding of what your business can sustain, what it must protect, and when reducing operations is safer than continuing as though nothing has happened.

Throughout this book, you will learn to examine those dependencies and turn them into manageable decisions. We will work with the realities of a small operation: limited time, finite money, personal customer relationships, specialized work, and the occasional uncomfortable discovery that an essential business process exists only inside your head. You will see how to prepare usable information, arrange appropriate support where possible, manage communications, handle interrupted commitments, and test arrangements before an actual emergency exposes their weaknesses. The aim is practical confidence, not a glamorous folder full of procedures nobody ever reads.

For now, consider a simple question. If you became completely unavailable tomorrow morning, which three things would cause the greatest difficulty before somebody could reasonably reach you again? Think about actual consequences rather than general feelings of indispensability. Perhaps a customer would need

immediate attention. Perhaps a delivery would be left unattended, or an essential payment would require action by someone with the proper authority. You do not have to solve those problems immediately. Identifying them accurately is already more useful than declaring that your business would collapse without you and then returning to your inbox.

Being your own boss should not require you to become an indestructible component of your own enterprise. You can remain the expert, the decision-maker, and the person customers value without personally holding every operational thread together at every moment. Your business may sometimes have to slow down, postpone work, or temporarily stop certain services. That is not necessarily failure. A business capable of responding responsibly to its owner's absence is considerably stronger than one that appears unstoppable only because its owner never dares to stop.

You started a business to build something worthwhile. It is perfectly reasonable to want that achievement to survive the occasional inconvenience of being human.

Chapter 1 - Your Business Runs Beautifully, As Long As You're There

At 10:40 on a perfectly ordinary Thursday, the owner of a small laundry collection service discovers that her business has an unusual operating requirement: she must personally exist in the right place at all times. She has stepped out for a medical appointment, leaving a capable part-time assistant to handle collections. The assistant knows how to process payments, operate the booking system, and deal pleasantly with customers. Unfortunately, a driver has arrived at the wrong address, two customers have requested changes to their collection times, and nobody knows which of the identical-looking bags contains a particularly expensive evening dress. The information exists somewhere. The owner knows exactly where. Her assistant has everything necessary to run the business except the ability to access the owner's brain, a feature that software developers continue to overlook.

The owner returns forty minutes later and resolves everything almost immediately. She identifies the dress, corrects the driver's instructions, and rearranges the collection schedule. The customers are satisfied, the assistant is relieved, and normal operations resume. From the outside, the business looks impressively efficient. From the inside, something important has been demonstrated. The assistant was not incapable, the systems were not completely useless, and the customers were not unusually demanding. Several routine decisions depended on information that existed only in one person's memory. The owner considers the incident evidence of her exceptional organizational abilities. A less flattering interpretation is that she has built a business with a highly sophisticated dependency on herself.

This is how many small businesses become fragile without appearing unsuccessful. The owner handles problems so quickly that nobody notices how frequently they arise. An unclear customer instruction is resolved before it becomes a complaint. A supplier's mistake is corrected through a familiar contact. An incomplete record is supplemented by personal recollection. Each successful intervention strengthens the impression that everything is under control, even as the organization becomes increasingly dependent on those interventions. The arrangement can remain effective for years. Unfortunately, its apparent reliability depends on a condition that no entrepreneur can guarantee: the continued availability of a particular human being.

A small business does not need to operate like a multinational corporation. The personal approach, speed, and flexibility that make independent businesses attractive are genuine advantages. Customers may deliberately choose you because they dislike being transferred between departments or explaining the same problem repeatedly. You probably started working independently partly to escape unnecessary bureaucracy. Replacing every informal decision with a lengthy procedure would be expensive, exhausting, and potentially absurd. Nobody needs a committee to approve the purchase of replacement printer paper. The challenge is distinguishing useful simplicity from arrangements that work only because the owner is constantly compensating for missing information, unclear responsibilities, and unnecessary dependencies.

Being essential and being involved in everything are different things

Some parts of your business genuinely require you. Perhaps customers purchase your professional judgment, artistic abilities, specialist qualifications, or established relationships. An independent consultant cannot simply send an unqualified neighbor to deliver professional advice. A craftsperson producing

individually commissioned work may be the only person capable of achieving the agreed result. These limitations are real. They should influence the services you promise and the arrangements you make for interruptions. But they do not automatically justify requiring your personal intervention whenever someone needs a delivery reference, an appointment confirmation, or an explanation of standard payment terms.

Consider a self-employed interior stylist who works directly with homeowners. Her professional recommendations depend on her expertise, but her working day also includes confirming appointments, forwarding supplier updates, organizing documents, and reminding clients about information they need to provide. She performs these activities efficiently because she knows the projects. If she becomes unavailable, her professional consultations may have to stop. That does not necessarily mean every administrative activity must stop with them. Some responsibilities might be handled by a properly authorized assistant, while others could be delayed without serious consequences. The important distinction is between work requiring professional judgment and work requiring access to an established process.

Owners often fail to make this distinction because their responsibilities have accumulated gradually. At first, doing everything yourself is economical. Later, it becomes habitual. By the time the business has acquired several customers and recurring commitments, the owner may be making dozens of minor decisions each day without recognizing them as separate tasks. You become the person who knows which customer prefers telephone contact, which supplier accepts last-minute adjustments, and which service cannot be delivered without an additional approval. None of this knowledge is inherently problematic. The problem appears when nobody can distinguish the information necessary for a task from the personal habits of the individual who usually performs it.

It is tempting to solve this through delegation, but handing somebody a task does not automatically remove the dependency. Suppose your assistant can prepare customer invoices but must ask you to approve every document, including routine transactions following previously agreed prices. During your absence, the preparation continues while the invoices remain unfinished. You have delegated the activity while retaining the bottleneck. Sometimes that final approval is necessary for legal, financial, or contractual reasons. Sometimes it exists because you have never defined the circumstances in which somebody else could act appropriately. Those situations need different solutions, and treating them as identical leads either to unnecessary delays or dangerous shortcuts.

Another common mistake is assuming that because you can perform a task faster than somebody else, you should always perform it yourself. Your experience gives you a substantial advantage when finding information or making familiar decisions. Teaching somebody another approach may take longer initially. However, the relevant comparison is not simply between your three-minute task and another person's ten-minute task. It also includes the possibility that your three-minute task cannot happen for five days because you are unavailable. Efficiency measured only under ideal conditions tells you surprisingly little about the resilience of the process.

Try removing yourself from the picture

A practical way to identify unnecessary dependence is to examine a normal working day as though you were absent from it. You do not need to close the business or frighten customers with a surprise disappearance. Instead, take a recent day and reconstruct the important activities. For each one, ask what would have happened if you could not receive messages, provide instructions, approve decisions, or access your systems. Concentrate on the consequences rather than the inconvenience of having somebody

else perform the task. If an activity would simply wait until your return, that may be entirely acceptable. If another person's work would stop, or an important commitment would become difficult to fulfill, you have identified a dependency worth understanding.

Imagine you provide maintenance services to several commercial properties. On a typical Tuesday, you receive a supplier quotation, answer a question about the next scheduled visit, resolve a minor billing discrepancy, and personally inspect equipment at a customer's premises. Without you, the inspection may be impossible because it requires your particular qualifications. The quotation might wait safely. The billing question could perhaps be acknowledged without immediate resolution. But if a scheduled visit involves a safety-related obligation, somebody qualified may need to assess the situation promptly. The activities all appear in the same calendar, yet they have very different implications for continuity. A useful review makes those distinctions visible rather than labeling everything important because it occupies your time.

When conducting this exercise, resist the urge to assume that somebody else would simply figure things out. That assumption often hides the exact problem you are trying to identify. What information would the person need? Would they already possess the appropriate access? Could they make the decision within their actual authority? Would the customer have to agree to a change? If answering these questions requires you to explain an unwritten exception, you have discovered information that should be considered before an emergency. The goal is not to document every possible conversation. It is to identify the places where ordinary work becomes impossible without an explanation from you.

You may discover that several apparent problems share the same underlying cause. For example, customer questions, supplier inquiries, and delivery changes might all require your involvement because the current status of each order is not recorded reliably.

Creating three separate emergency procedures would be unnecessarily complicated. Improving the ordinary status information could address all three dependencies. This is one reason diagnosis matters before purchasing additional tools or arranging outside support. A business with poor information flow does not necessarily become more resilient by purchasing a second subscription to a more colorful task-management system. It may simply acquire two places in which nobody knows what is happening.

A useful owner is not an emergency service

There is also a behavioral element that deserves attention. Small-business owners often become the automatic solution to every uncertainty because responding makes them feel responsible and effective. When somebody asks a question, the owner answers. When a minor mistake occurs, the owner corrects it. This approach can build excellent relationships, but it also teaches everyone involved that uncertainty should be directed toward one individual. Gradually, questions that could be answered through existing information become requests for personal approval. The owner begins to confuse being needed with being helpful. The business, meanwhile, develops the organizational equivalent of a child who asks where the cereal is while standing directly in front of the cupboard.

Changing that pattern does not require becoming inaccessible or refusing to help. It requires deciding which questions genuinely belong to you. If a colleague asks something that should already be answered by the agreed process, improve the information or clarify the responsibility rather than continuing to provide the same explanation indefinitely. If a customer repeatedly needs confirmation of a standard arrangement, perhaps the arrangement has not been communicated clearly enough. If every unusual situation requires your decision, consider whether the boundaries of other people's responsibilities have been defined realistically.

These changes can make ordinary operations smoother while reducing the number of occasions on which your absence creates a crisis.

Of course, some owners have no employees and very little money available for external assistance. That does not make the exercise pointless. You can still organize your services so that predictable interruptions are less damaging. You might avoid promising immediate turnaround when a task depends exclusively on your personal work. You might establish clear customer communication rules or identify commitments that require action before a planned closure. You might also decide that certain operations must stop entirely when you cannot work. These are legitimate continuity decisions. A sole trader does not need to manufacture a miniature management hierarchy merely to demonstrate professional sophistication.

The emotional difficulty is that such decisions can feel like admitting the business is smaller or less capable than you want it to be. Owners often prefer saying, "I'll find a way," because it sounds determined and customer-focused. Occasionally that determination produces excellent results. But if every commitment depends on extraordinary personal effort, the business is promising a level of availability that may not be sustainable. Responsible limitations are not signs of weakness. A customer who understands the circumstances under which a service can be delivered is better informed than one who has been given an attractive promise resting entirely on your ability to remain permanently healthy.

You should also consider whether the consequences of your absence would extend beyond customer inconvenience. Some businesses hold valuable property, maintain sensitive records, employ people, or perform activities where safety matters. In those circumstances, identifying a dependency is only the beginning. The appropriate response may require professional qualifications, legal authorization, secure access arrangements, or advice from a

relevant specialist. You cannot solve every restriction by writing instructions for a friend. The absence of a properly qualified or authorized person may mean certain activities must be suspended, while other responsibilities still require appropriate attention.

The smallest meaningful improvement

After examining a typical day, choose one dependency that causes unnecessary disruption and has a realistic solution. Suppose customers frequently need order-status information that only you can interpret. Rather than introducing a complicated operating manual, establish a reliable way to record the current stage and any important exception. The improvement is complete when an appropriately authorized person can understand that status without asking you to reconstruct the history. If the problem concerns approvals, clarify which decisions are genuinely reserved for you and which might be handled under previously agreed rules, subject to applicable requirements. The action should address the cause of the dependency, not merely disguise it.

Do not measure progress by the number of pages written or applications installed. A useful improvement changes what happens when you are unavailable. Perhaps a customer can receive accurate information without waiting for you. Perhaps a colleague can finish a previously authorized task. Perhaps an order is safely paused instead of generating new commitments. None of those achievements sounds particularly glamorous at a networking event. They are nevertheless far more relevant than an impressive emergency binder containing instructions that begin with the words, "Ask the owner."

Returning to our laundry collection service, the owner does not need to surrender control of every customer relationship or train the assistant to duplicate all her experience. She needs to identify which routine activities were unnecessarily dependent on private knowledge. Clear labeling of customer items, reliable collection instructions, and agreed rules for handling schedule changes could

prevent several of the problems that interrupted her appointment. Other decisions may legitimately remain hers. The result is not an entirely owner-independent company, but one that can tolerate ordinary interruptions without immediately losing its ability to function.

That distinction matters because your ambition probably was never to create a business that could operate perfectly without you forever. You wanted to provide worthwhile services, earn a living, and retain some control over your working life. If the organization requires your personal involvement in every minor event, that independence has quietly become conditional. You are free to make your own decisions, provided you never stop making them.

A resilient small business is not one in which the owner becomes unnecessary. It is one in which the owner's necessary contributions are understood, and everything else is arranged with enough care that an unexpected absence does not automatically become an operational disaster. You can still be the person customers choose and the expert whose work makes the business valuable. You simply no longer have to serve as its permanent human extension cord.

Chapter 2 - Your Calendar Doesn't Call in Sick

A small audiovisual rental company has three jobs booked for Friday. The first involves supplying microphones for a university lecture that can probably use equipment already on the premises. The second is a projector rental for a business presentation taking place in the afternoon. The third involves delivering and setting up an entire sound system for an outdoor event attended by several hundred people. On Wednesday evening, the owner learns that he will be unable to work for at least several days. His assistant opens the booking calendar and finds three appointments, all marked with the same cheerful blue color. The software considers them equally important. The customers, unfortunately, have rather different opinions about what will happen if the equipment fails to appear.

The business has a problem that no amount of calendar organization can solve by itself. Dates tell you when something is supposed to happen, but they rarely explain what depends on that event. A missed appointment could mean a minor inconvenience, a contractual dispute, wasted expenditure, or the complete failure of an occasion that cannot be repeated. Two commitments scheduled for the same afternoon may require entirely different responses during an emergency. The challenge is understanding which obligations need action, how quickly decisions must be made, and what consequences follow if the business cannot deliver. Without those distinctions, the person trying to help may spend valuable time dealing with a flexible booking while an immovable deadline quietly becomes impossible to meet.

Small-business owners are often surprisingly good at managing these differences without recording them. They remember which customer has an important event, which supplier requires advance

notice, and which appointment can be rearranged without much difficulty. Such knowledge develops through experience and continuous involvement. The difficulty appears when somebody else must interpret the calendar. A booking labeled "Friday, 2 p.m." does not reveal whether equipment must be tested beforehand, whether a technician has already been confirmed, or whether the customer has arranged additional services around that delivery. To the person stepping in, the schedule contains dates. To the owner, it contains an entire network of commitments, expectations, and consequences.

The deadline is not always the date on the calendar

One of the most important distinctions in continuity planning is the difference between a delivery deadline and the last sensible moment for making a decision. Suppose the outdoor event requires equipment to be loaded on Thursday, transported on Friday morning, and installed before guests arrive. The event takes place on Friday evening, but waiting until Friday afternoon to decide whether the company can fulfill its obligation would be disastrous. By then, alternative arrangements may no longer be available. The meaningful decision deadline is earlier than the event itself. It depends on preparation time, availability of resources, and the customer's ability to respond to a change.

This distinction applies to many ordinary commitments. A customized item might be due next Tuesday, but essential materials must be ordered by Thursday. A scheduled installation may take place in two weeks, while access arrangements require confirmation several days beforehand. A professional report could be needed at the end of the month, but the customer must provide information before work can begin. If you only record the final delivery date, the business may appear to have plenty of time when the practical opportunity to act is already disappearing. Good planning therefore looks backward from the promised result to identify the decisions that must happen beforehand.