Max Paradox

PROBLEM? RELAX. YOU'VE GOT THIS!

I Got an Expense Schedule, Only Nobody Called It a Budget

How to Track Shared Trip Costs and Settle Up Without Confusion



I Got an Expense Schedule, Only Nobody Called It a Budget

How to Track Shared Trip Costs and Settle Up Without Confusion

Max Paradox

PROBLEM? RELAX. YOU'VE GOT THIS!

ISBN: 9788384908075

ALL-IN Rafał Płonkowski



CONTENTS

INTRO 5

Chapter 1 - The Budget Nobody Planned 11

Chapter 2 - Shared Doesn't Mean Equal 19

Chapter 3 - The Most Expensive Expenses Are the Ones Nobody Recorded 28

Chapter 4 - Money Moves Differently from Expenses 39

Chapter 5 - The Trip Organiser Is Not an ATM 50

Chapter 6 - The System Should Serve People, Not the Other Way Around 59

Chapter 7 - When the Plan Changes, the Money Must Keep Up 69

Chapter 8 - Small Decisions That Quietly Push Up the Bill 79

Chapter 9 - From Receipt to Settlement: How to Track Expenses Step by Step 89

Chapter 10 - From Many Bills to Just a Few Transfers 98

Chapter 11 - Budget Control During the Trip: How Not to Wake Up with an Empty Wallet 108

Chapter 12 - When the Bill Is in Euros but the Settlement Is in Złoty 117

Chapter 13 - Who Is Responsible for What? Organising Shared Expenses Without Chaos 129

Chapter 14 - How to Talk About Money When People Disagree 141

Chapter 15 - When the Settlement Falls Apart: Errors, Missing Information and Situations with No Perfect Solution.....153

Chapter 16 - Difficult Group Arrangements: Families, Couples and People Who Don't Travel the Same Way 164

Chapter 17 - When an Unexpected Expense Appears That Nobody Wants to Pay.....176

Chapter 18 - Don't Start from Scratch Every Time You Take Another Trip.....187

Chapter 19 - Order That Stands the Test of Time 198

Chapter 20 - You Don't Need a Perfect System. You Need Your Own Rules..... 208

INTRO

A trip with friends usually begins with an innocent sentence: "Let's book everything together and settle up afterwards." It sounds perfectly reasonable. After all, nobody goes on the trip of a lifetime because they are eager to spend their mornings doing bookkeeping. One person pays the accommodation deposit, another buys the tickets, someone offers to drive, and somebody else promises to "sort out the shopping when we get there." For the first few hours, everything works beautifully. Money changes hands, receipts disappear into pockets, and everyone's memory is still reasonably fresh. Only later does it become clear that a group trip has its own rather unforgiving economy: the expenses are shared, but specific people pay for them, at different times and for different things.

The real trouble rarely starts with a large amount of money. More often, it begins with some little expense nobody considered important enough to record. Coffee for everyone? Bottled water for the journey? Parking paid in cash? Food that not everyone ate? Or perhaps a ticket purchased for only two people, even though one person paid for both? Everyone remembers their own version of events, and every version seems perfectly logical to the person telling it. Eventually, someone sends a message: "Right, so how much does everyone owe, and to whom?" Suddenly, nobody has the complete picture. Everyone, however, has a collection of figures, screenshots, receipts and a firm belief that this should be fairly straightforward.

This is usually when a document appears, timidly pretending to be an expense list. It has a few columns, three

different colours, an unexplained abbreviation meaning "the rest", and an entry labelled "to be checked", which gradually becomes a permanent feature of the landscape. Someone enters an amount but cannot remember whether it covered two people or the entire group. Someone else adds a bank transfer without indicating what it was for. The owner of the spreadsheet attempts to reconstruct the financial history from a conversation in which travel arrangements were mixed with photographs, jokes, a change of departure time and seven messages about who was bringing the phone charger. This is not quite a budget yet. It is financial archaeology conducted through a group chat.

It is easy to conclude that the problem lies with people who cannot remember what they spent, fail to send receipts or take forever to make a transfer. Sometimes, of course, someone really does make the situation more difficult. Much more often, however, the group simply failed to agree in advance on what should count as a shared expense, how payments should be recorded and what rules should be used to divide the bills. Everyone fills in the gaps with their own assumptions. One person expects everything to be split equally, another believes fuel should be calculated separately, while someone else does not want to pay for an activity they did not take part in. Nobody needs to be acting in bad faith for the whole arrangement to become a mess. A few reasonable but different assumptions are quite enough.

Simply saying "we'll split everything equally" does not always solve the problem. An equal split is convenient when everyone uses the same things to roughly the same extent. It can become unfair, however, when someone joins the trip later, leaves earlier, does not use the car or buys something exclusively for themselves. On the other hand, calculating

the cost of every bottle of water down to the last penny can consume more energy than the trip itself. The goal is not to turn a group of friends into an audit committee. It is to choose rules that are fair enough and simple enough for everyone to actually follow them.

One of the biggest traps is postponing all the organisation until the end. During the trip, everyone has something more interesting to do than check who paid for the bread. Once everyone gets home, they want to return to their usual routines, and the shared expenses become a task with no clear owner. The longer you wait, the more information you have to reconstruct from memory. Amounts get mixed up with transfers, receipts and personal purchases, while a small uncertainty gradually starts to feel like an accusation. A message saying "Can you remind me what that 86 zł was for?" might be a perfectly neutral question. But after three weeks of silence, it can easily sound like the opening statement in a courtroom drama.

That is why a transparent settlement does not begin with a complicated spreadsheet or the search for the perfect app. It begins with a short agreement between the participants: what goes into the shared pot, what everyone pays for individually, who records each expense and what information needs to be included so that the money can be divided later. There is no need to draft regulations worthy of a publicly listed company. A few sentences that everyone understands in the same way will usually do the job. It is also worth agreeing from the outset whether costs will be split equally, according to the number of people who benefit from a particular purchase, or according to some other arrangement. The important thing is that the rule is known

before the money is spent, rather than invented afterwards when someone already feels they have paid too much.

In practice, the amount is only part of the story. Context matters just as much. An entry reading "240 zł - shopping" tells you very little after a few days. An entry reading "240 zł - shared breakfasts, paid by Marta, for five people" gives you something you can actually use to settle the bill. If an expense concerns only some members of the group, make a note of that straight away. If someone reimburses the person who originally paid, distinguish that repayment from a new expense. These may seem like tiny details, but they are precisely what separates a clear record from a puzzle that everyone has to solve after returning home, when patience is running low and there are plenty of other things competing for attention.

Not every trip requires the same level of accuracy. A weekend away for two people, a shared holiday involving several families and a trip with a large group arriving on different dates are three very different situations. The tool should fit the size and habits of the group, not the other way around. Sometimes one shared note with regularly updated payments is all you need. In other cases, a spreadsheet showing the payer, amount, category and people covered by each expense will be more useful. An app can make the calculations easier, but it cannot decide on behalf of the group whether a particular purchase should count as a shared expense. Even the most elegant chart in the world cannot settle the question of whether someone ordered that extra portion just for themselves.

It is also worth remembering that transparent accounting is not evidence of distrust towards your friends. It is a way of protecting good relationships from unnecessary

assumptions and misunderstandings. When the amounts and rules are visible, nobody has to rely on remembering who "usually paid more" or wonder whether asking for reimbursement is somehow inappropriate. You can simply check the entry, correct a mistake and close the matter. Transparency takes away some of the emotional weight that money can bring into relationships.

That is exactly what this book is about: regaining control over shared money without taking the fun out of travelling together. You will learn how to establish simple rules before departure, record expenses in a way that leaves no room for guesswork, distinguish shared costs from individual purchases and choose a fair splitting method for different situations. You will also discover how to keep the accounts up to date during the trip, what to do when a receipt is missing, when someone joins the group late or when participants disagree about whether an expense should be shared. And, just as importantly, you will learn how to close the accounts without creating an atmosphere in which every bank transfer requires a three-page justification.

I am not going to promise that, after reading this book, everyone in your group will immediately start sending payment confirmations, remember every receipt and enthusiastically enter figures into a spreadsheet. People will remain people, and some group arrangements will still require patience. You can, however, make sure that the rules are clear, the information is accessible and the final settlement does not depend on who has the best memory or the most free time. A shared trip should leave you with photographs, stories and perhaps a few anecdotes about the weather. It should not leave you with a mysterious

outstanding balance that keeps coming up in conversations more often than the memory of the best meal of the holiday.

Chapter 1 - The Budget Nobody Planned

There is a particular moment when organising a group trip when everyone is convinced that things are under control. The accommodation has been booked, the tickets have been purchased, the car has been filled with fuel, and someone posts a message in the group chat: "So far, it's worked out at around 1,200 zł per person." Someone responds with a thumbs-up, someone asks whether that amount already includes food, and someone else reminds everyone that parking still needs to be paid for. For a moment, it all looks like a well-organised undertaking. The problem is that nobody actually knows what the word "worked out" means.

Does 1,200 zł represent money already spent, the estimated total cost, or perhaps the amount everyone should prepare? Does it include the accommodation booking paid for by one person? Does it account for expenses that will only arise once everyone arrives? And, most importantly, does everyone understand that figure in exactly the same way?

This is where the difference between an expense list and a budget begins. An expense list tells you how much money has already disappeared somewhere. A budget helps you decide how much money can be allocated, what it will be spent on, when it will be needed and what safety margin should be kept. These are two related tools, but they are not interchangeable. You can have an extremely detailed record of payments and still have no idea whether the trip is affordable for everyone involved. Equally, you can agree on a perfectly reasonable budget and then exceed it through a

series of small decisions, each of which seemed harmless when considered on its own.

Shared expenses introduce an additional complication: the person making a decision is not necessarily the person paying for it. Someone chooses more expensive accommodation, someone else pays the deposit, and a third person only finds out several days later how much their share will be. Without a common reference point, the group starts operating on assumptions. Everyone has their own spending limit, their own idea of what constitutes a reasonable expense and their own definition of what counts as a normal price.

That is why a budget should begin with people and only then move on to prices.

First, Agree on How Much You Actually Want to Spend

Imagine five people planning a four-day trip. One of them suggests accommodation costing 2,400 zł. At first glance, the calculation is simple: 480 zł per person. Everyone accepts the suggestion because the amount seems reasonable. The trouble is that one person had imagined spending no more than 1,000 zł on the entire trip, another assumed accommodation would cost no more than 350 zł, and a third had not considered any spending limit at all because they figured everything would somehow work itself out.

The booking is paid for. Then come fuel, food, parking, activities and several other expenses. Suddenly, the total cost of the trip is not 480 zł but more than 1,100 zł per person. That is when someone says: "I had no idea it was going to cost us that much."

This does not necessarily mean anyone made a mistake in the calculations. Perhaps everyone was simply looking at a different part of the same undertaking.

Before making any reservations, it is worth agreeing on three things. The first is an approximate overall budget: the amount each participant is prepared to spend on the entire trip. The second is the essential budget, covering the expenses necessary to make the trip happen. The third is additional spending, which may arise but is not a condition of taking part.

This distinction helps prevent a situation in which an attractive accommodation offer consumes most of the available money before anyone has had a chance to think about the remaining costs. If the group has a specific amount available, it should not treat that entire amount as money intended exclusively for the first major booking.

In practice, it is better to ask each participant for a spending range rather than demand one rigid figure. You could say: "Would 1,000-1,200 zł for the entire trip work for you, or would you rather stay closer to 900 zł?" This conversation is considerably more useful than simply asking whether someone likes a particular accommodation option.

It is also important to remember that silence does not always mean agreement to every expense. Someone might not want to spoil the atmosphere, might not yet have a complete picture of the costs, or might simply assume that everyone else knows what they are doing. That is why amounts should always be presented in the context of the entire trip.

Build a Picture of the Costs Before You Start Spending

A shared trip budget does not need to be complicated. To begin with, it is enough to divide the planned expenses into several categories. Their purpose is not to create an impressive spreadsheet but to show where the money is going.

The most common categories will include:

- Transport, including fuel, tickets, road charges and parking.
- Accommodation.
- Food and shared shopping.
- Activities and admission tickets.
- Organisational expenses and a reserve for unexpected situations.

There is no need to predict every single purchase. The objective is to identify the main sources of expenditure that could affect the final amount. If accommodation costs 2,400 zł and transport has not yet been arranged, you should not present 480 zł as the cost of the entire trip. It is only one person's share of one category.

When planning, it is useful to distinguish confirmed amounts from estimates. An accommodation booking paid by bank transfer represents a specific financial commitment. The cost of future grocery shopping is a forecast. Putting both figures into the same column without indicating their status makes the spreadsheet look more precise than the underlying information really is.

Imagine a group planning a trip and initially estimating the following amounts:

- Accommodation: 2,400 zł.
- Transport: 700 zł.

- Shared shopping: 600 zł.
- Activities: 500 zł.
- Reserve: 300 zł.

The total comes to 4,500 zł. With five participants, the estimated share is 900 zł per person. This does not yet mean that everyone should transfer exactly that amount. It is a reference point that shows the overall scale of the undertaking.

If it later turns out that transport will cost an additional 200 zł, the group can check whether it still fits within the agreed limit. Without a budget, this information simply appears as another entry on an expense list. With a budget, it becomes a signal that the expected total cost of the trip has changed.

That is the fundamental difference. An individual expense stops being an isolated number and starts having consequences for a shared decision.

A Reserve Is Not Money Waiting to Be Spent

Many groups treat the planned reserve as an additional pool of money that can be used whenever an attractive opportunity appears. Its actual purpose is quite different. A reserve protects the budget against expenses that could not have been accurately predicted in advance.

This might include higher fuel costs, an additional parking charge, the need to change a reservation or the purchase of something that turns out to be genuinely necessary. It does not mean that every passing whim can be covered with shared money under the convenient label of an "unexpected expense".

It is worth agreeing on who can approve the use of the reserve and under what circumstances. In a small group, a simple rule is enough: any significant additional expense must be communicated to the participants and approved by them. There is no need to organise a formal vote every time someone buys a bottle of water, but a change of plans that substantially increases costs should be discussed.

It is also important to distinguish the reserve from money already collected for specific commitments. If the group has collected funds for accommodation, that does not mean the entire amount is freely available for any purpose. The money may be sitting in one bank account, but different portions of it have different destinations.

This is particularly important when one person collects payments from everyone else. That person should know how much money has been received, how much has already been spent and how much must still be kept aside for planned payments. Otherwise, it is easy to confuse money that happens to be sitting in an account with money that can genuinely be used for another purchase.

Decide Who Makes Financial Decisions

A group trip does not require a chief financial officer, but it does benefit from clear responsibilities. Who makes the accommodation bookings? Who collects the money? Who records the expenses? Who informs the group about changes?

These responsibilities can belong to one person, but they do not have to. What matters is that everyone knows whom to contact about a particular issue. The worst possible arrangement is one in which everybody assumes that somebody else is taking care of the payment.

For example, one person might be responsible for booking the accommodation, another for transport, and a third for maintaining the shared expense register. This does not mean that each of them has the authority to independently increase the costs. Responsibility for carrying out a task and the right to make financial decisions are two different things.

It is worth establishing a threshold above which an additional expense requires agreement. This does not need to involve a complicated procedure. The group can decide that small, predictable purchases within an agreed category do not require individual approval every time, while a new reservation, a change of accommodation or an expensive activity must be accepted by the participants.

Such a rule protects both the organiser and everyone else. The organiser does not have to guess whether they can make decisions on behalf of the group, and the participants do not discover afterwards that they have somehow become joint owners of an expensive activity they never agreed to attend.

A Budget Should Be a Living Document

One of the biggest mistakes is treating a budget as a document that gets created once, preferably before making the reservations, and then left untouched. Planning always takes place with incomplete information. Some prices are known, others will only become clear later, and certain decisions depend on what happens during the trip.

That is why the budget should be updated as new information becomes available. This does not mean recalculating everything after every purchase. It is enough to make significant changes visible: the planned amount, the

actual cost, the difference and any decision made by the group.

It is also useful to separate amounts that have already been paid from those that still need to be paid. Accommodation might be fully settled, while fuel and shopping will be paid for during the trip. If all these amounts are thrown into one overview without appropriate labels, participants might assume they need less money than they will actually have to prepare.

A good habit is to review the budget briefly before making any significant financial commitment. Before someone books an additional activity, it is worth checking whether its cost falls within the existing arrangements. If it does not, the decision should be made consciously rather than under the influence of the enthusiasm of the person who has just discovered an amazing deal.

There is no need to give up flexibility. A budget is not a prison, and exceeding it does not automatically mean the trip has been badly organised. A change may be entirely justified if the participants understand and accept it. The problem only arises when one person makes the decision and everyone else receives a bill they are expected to approve.

There is one principle worth remembering: a shared budget should help people make decisions before spending money, not merely explain after returning home why more money was spent than expected. When everyone knows the approximate cost, what it includes and who can approve changes, the group gains something far more valuable than a pretty spreadsheet. It gains a shared understanding of its financial situation. And that is the first step towards making trip planning feel less like negotiating a national budget between five people, each armed with a different calculator.

Chapter 2 - Shared Doesn't Mean Equal

On paper, everything looks wonderful. Five people are going away for four days, so everyone should pay one-fifth of the shared expenses. Accommodation costs 2,400 zł, shopping comes to 600 zł and transport costs 700 zł. The mathematics is calm, predictable and has absolutely no objections. Unfortunately, reality has a habit of asking questions that the calculator never anticipated.

One person arrives on the second day. Another leaves early. A third does not use the car because they are travelling independently. A fourth decides not to take part in one of the activities. The fifth does the shopping for everyone, but some of the products are intended exclusively for personal use. Should everyone still pay exactly the same amount?

The answer depends on the type of expense. And this is one of the most important principles of settling shared costs: there is no single fair method for dividing every expense. There are different ways of splitting costs, and they need to be matched to who benefits from a particular purchase, when they benefit from it and what arrangements were agreed upon beforehand.

Equality and fairness are often closely related, but they do not always mean the same thing. Dividing a bill into five identical portions is equal. It is not necessarily fair if one person used a service for four days and another for only two. On the other hand, calculating every little expense according to individual consumption might be fair in theory, but so complicated in practice that the group starts regretting the decision to go away together in the first place.

The key is to identify the type of expense before dividing it.

Three Types of Shared Expenses

The easiest starting point is to distinguish between three basic groups of expenses: fixed shared costs, usage-dependent shared costs and individual expenses.

The first group includes costs incurred for the benefit of the entire group that do not change significantly depending on how many people actually use particular elements of the arrangement. This might include renting a shared space, booking an entire property or paying a specific organisational fee. If everyone has accepted such an expense as a shared cost, an equal split is a natural starting point.

The second group consists of expenses whose amount or scope depends on the number of people using a particular service or item. Examples include admission tickets, meals ordered for specific participants or additional services. In these cases, the division should take account of the actual beneficiaries rather than automatically include everyone on the trip.

The third group covers private purchases. If someone buys an extra snack for themselves, a souvenir, cosmetics or a separate ticket for an event, that expense should not automatically be added to the shared pool. Even if the purchase is paid for using the card of the person managing the accounts, its nature remains individual.

These three categories seem obvious until a borderline situation appears. Is food purchased for everyone a shared expense if one person does not eat a particular product? Is the cost of renting a car shared if only some members of the

group use it? Is an additional night at the accommodation a shared cost if one person decides to stay longer?

This is precisely why relying exclusively on category names is not enough. You also need to establish the rule according to which each expense will be settled.

Equal Splitting Has Its Place

Splitting costs equally is the simplest approach and is often the best one. It works particularly well when all participants benefit from the same service under broadly similar conditions.

If five people jointly rent a holiday house for 2,500 zł for the same period and everyone has similar accommodation arrangements, a division of 500 zł per person is easy to understand. There is no need to calculate who slept closer to the kitchen, who used the sofa more often or who consumed more hot water. The expense concerns shared accommodation, so an equal split is a reasonable simplification.

That does not mean every accommodation expense should always be divided by the number of participants. If people arrive on different dates, it is worth considering whether the booking covers the entire period or the actual length of each person's stay.

Imagine five people renting a property for four nights. Four people stay for the entire period, while the fifth joins them after two nights. There are several possible solutions. If the whole group previously accepted the shared price and the fifth person agreed to contribute towards the booking, dividing the fee equally may be perfectly reasonable. However, if the later arrival was agreed upon from the beginning and affects the way the cost is calculated,

dividing it according to the number of person-nights may be more natural.

Under this approach, you count not only the number of participants but also the number of nights each person actually uses the accommodation. If the total price is 2,500 zł and the group accounts for 18 person-nights, the cost of one person-night is approximately 138.89 zł. Someone staying for four nights would pay approximately 555.56 zł, while someone staying for two nights would pay approximately 277.78 zł.

This will not always be the best method. If the property has a fixed price regardless of the number of guests and the booking was agreed upon collectively, the group may decide that everyone contributes to the basic accommodation cost. The important thing is not to pretend that there is one mathematical answer for every situation. The choice of method should reflect the arrangements made beforehand and the nature of the expense.

Not Everyone Uses Transport in the Same Way

Transport is one of the most common sources of misunderstandings in a shared trip budget. This is especially true when one person provides the car, another pays for fuel and the remaining participants assume that because they are travelling together, everything can simply be divided equally.

The first step is to separate the different components of transport costs. Fuel, road charges, parking and the possible rental of a vehicle do not necessarily need to be settled in the same way. When a group travels together by car, dividing the fuel cost among the passengers is usually straightforward, but it is worth agreeing in advance whether other charges should also be included in the shared pool.