PROBLEM? RELAX. YOU'VE GOT THIS!

After the Breakup, We're Still Together in the Cloud

How to Separate Accounts, Subscriptions, and Device Access After a Relationship Ends, Keep Your Own Files, and Arrange a Safe Handover of Shared Services Without a Digital War



Max Paradox

After the Breakup, We're Still Together in the Cloud

How to Separate Accounts, Subscriptions, and Device Access After a Relationship Ends, Keep Your Own Files, and Arrange a Safe Handover of Shared Services Without a Digital War

Max Paradox

PROBLEM? RELAX. YOU'VE GOT THIS!

ISBN: 9788384839645

ALL-IN Rafał Płonkowski



CONTENTS

INTRO 5

Chapter 1 - The Relationship Is Over, but the Permissions Are Still Active 11

Chapter 2 - Who Really Holds the Keys to Your Digital Life? 19

Chapter 3 - The Delete Button Has No Feelings 28

Chapter 4 - The Subscription That Refused to Break Up 36

Chapter 5 - Your Smart Home Hasn't Gotten the Breakup Memo 45

Chapter 6 - The Little Blue Dot That Knows Too Much 54

Chapter 7 - The Internet Still Thinks You're a Couple 63

Chapter 8 - Shared Calendars, Zombie Chats, and the Administrative Afterlife 72

Chapter 9 - The Great Digital Handover Without Setting Everything on Fire 81

Chapter 10 - Moving Out of Your Old Digital Life Without Losing Your Luggage 89

Chapter 11 - Your Phone Number Is Still in a Relationship 98

Chapter 12 - Your Car Remembers Your Ex Better Than You Do 106

Chapter 13 - Separate Phones, Shared Money, and the Digital Wallet Nobody Divorced 115

Chapter 14 - Your Child Is Not an Attachment to the Family Plan 124

Chapter 15 - When Your Ex Says No: Solving Digital Disputes Without Starting a War 134

Chapter 16 - When the System Says No: Recovering from a Digital Breakup Gone Wrong 143

Chapter 17 - Some Digital Things Won't Divide, No Matter How Reasonable You Are 153

Chapter 18 - Digital Ghosts Return: Keeping Your Independence Without Becoming a Full-Time Detective 162

Chapter 19 - The Final Click Is Not the Finish Line..... 171

Chapter 20 - Life After Logging Out: Freedom That Doesn't Need Constant Verification 179

INTRO

At 9:43 on a perfectly ordinary Tuesday morning, your phone informs you that someone has added seventeen items to your grocery cart. Among them are oat milk, expensive coffee, and a suspicious quantity of avocados. You haven't ordered groceries. You haven't even opened the app. Then you remember that your former partner still uses the account you created together three years ago. Before you can decide whether this is annoying or impressive, another notification arrives. Your shared streaming service has recommended a romantic comedy based on recent viewing activity. Finally, your smart speaker announces that a package is arriving at your old address. Congratulations. Your relationship has ended, but your digital infrastructure is apparently refusing to accept the resignation.

Breaking up used to involve dividing possessions, returning keys, collecting clothes, and negotiating ownership of the objects neither person particularly wanted until the other expressed an interest in them. Today, there is an additional estate to untangle, one that doesn't fit into cardboard boxes. It consists of accounts, subscriptions, connected devices, digital purchases, cloud storage, payment methods, and permissions granted so casually that nobody remembers granting them. Perhaps you shared a password because it was convenient. Perhaps one person managed the household technology while the other paid the bills. Perhaps you created a family account because the annual plan was cheaper. These decisions were perfectly understandable at the time. Unfortunately, digital convenience rarely comes with an instruction manual entitled What Happens If We Break Up?

The trouble is that technology doesn't recognize the emotional significance of a separation. A service understands account holders, authorized users, payment credentials, recovery addresses, and

active sessions. It doesn't understand that two people who once shared everything now prefer to share considerably less. If somebody was authorized to control your connected thermostat last month, that permission may remain active until someone changes it. If a subscription renews automatically, the payment system won't investigate whether the relationship that inspired the purchase still exists. Software is wonderfully efficient at continuing arrangements that human beings have already abandoned. In that respect, it resembles a particularly stubborn wedding planner who keeps sending invoices after the ceremony has been canceled.

You might assume the solution is straightforward: change every password, cancel every subscription, and remove every shared account. It's an appealing strategy, especially when the breakup has been difficult. Unfortunately, digital independence is rarely achieved through one spectacular evening of clicking buttons with increasing determination. An account might contain information belonging to both people. A subscription might support storage that somebody still needs. Changing a password might not terminate every existing session, depending on the service. Deleting a synchronized folder could affect more than the device sitting in front of you. And transferring ownership might not be possible at all. A button labeled "Remove" tells you what the software intends to do. It doesn't necessarily explain everything you may lose along the way.

The opposite approach is equally tempting. You leave the arrangements untouched because everything seems to be working, and you have more urgent things to worry about. There may be a move to organize, financial obligations to settle, children to support, or simply the exhausting business of getting through an ordinary week. A shared music subscription hardly seems worth another conversation. Neither does the shopping account that still lists two delivery addresses. But an arrangement that causes no obvious trouble today can become awkward months later, when a

device needs replacing, a payment fails, or access must be recovered through somebody else's email address. What looked like harmless digital clutter can suddenly become an unwanted dependency.

Consider two fictional former partners, Emma and Daniel, who have managed to separate their everyday lives reasonably peacefully. Emma keeps the apartment, while Daniel moves elsewhere. They divide the furniture and agree on the remaining expenses. Neither realizes that Daniel is still the primary administrator of the apartment's connected devices, while Emma controls the recovery email for an account Daniel uses regularly. Nobody is spying, threatening, or deliberately causing problems. They simply built a system in which responsibility and access were distributed according to convenience rather than long-term planning. Six months later, both find themselves asking the other for assistance with tasks that should have become independent. Their relationship is over, but the technical support agreement remains mysteriously in force.

This book is about ending those unnecessary dependencies without creating new ones. You'll learn to distinguish between owning an account, being permitted to use it, paying for it, and controlling the information stored inside it. Those distinctions matter because they determine what you can reasonably change yourself and what requires cooperation, formal authorization, or help from the service provider. You'll also learn to approach the practical consequences of separating digital services: preserving your own material, managing subscriptions, reviewing device access, and arranging handovers that don't accidentally destroy something valuable. The aim isn't to turn you into a cybersecurity specialist. It's to make ordinary digital decisions understandable enough that you can handle them without feeling as though you're defusing a bomb using instructions translated from another planet.

There's an equally important question underneath the technology: what should remain shared? Not every breakup permits or requires absolute separation. Former partners may still need to coordinate responsibilities involving children, property, financial arrangements, or unfinished projects. Some communication and carefully limited access may remain appropriate. The difference is that these arrangements should have a clear purpose, suitable permissions, and understandable boundaries. A shared calendar for necessary appointments doesn't require unrestricted access to somebody's private messages. Exchanging an important document doesn't require handing over an entire cloud account. Cooperation can continue where it is needed without automatically preserving the digital intimacy of a relationship that has ended.

There are also boundaries that software cannot decide for you. Knowing somebody's password doesn't necessarily mean you have permission to use it. Having access to a folder doesn't automatically establish ownership of everything inside it. Being able to remove another person's permissions doesn't settle a dispute about their rights. When important financial, contractual, or ownership questions arise, the correct answer may involve the provider's official procedures or appropriate legal advice. This book offers practical guidance, not a universal ruling on who owns every file, purchase, or account. A breakup is already complicated enough without giving the person who clicks fastest the power to determine the law.

Safety deserves special attention. If a former partner has used technology to monitor, intimidate, threaten, or control you, ordinary account-cleanup advice may not be sufficient. Changes to permissions or connected devices can sometimes generate notifications, and an abusive person may react dangerously to losing access. In those circumstances, your immediate safety matters more than completing an orderly digital handover. Support

from trusted people, appropriate professionals, specialist organizations, or emergency services may be necessary. You don't owe anybody a convenient administrative transition at the expense of your well-being. The humorous parts of this book are aimed at the absurdities of technology, never at the seriousness of someone being harmed.

Nor do you need to erase every reminder of your previous life to become digitally independent. Photographs can remain meaningful without remaining shared. Important documents can be preserved without keeping unnecessary access open. You can decide what to archive, what to reorganize, and what no longer deserves a place in your everyday digital world. Those decisions needn't all happen on the same afternoon. Digital organization and emotional recovery follow different schedules, and neither benefits from pretending otherwise. Sometimes the sensible technical decision is simply to preserve an authorized copy of something important and leave the emotional decision about it for another day.

The reassuring part is that you don't have to solve everything at once or achieve an imaginary state of perfect digital cleanliness. You need to understand what depends on whom, protect what legitimately belongs to you, and make deliberate choices about what should happen next. Some arrangements will be easy to change. Others will require patience, formal procedures, or an acceptance that a particular service was never designed to separate two users gracefully. The goal is practical independence, not a ceremonial destruction of every password, photograph, and account associated with your past.

One day, ideally, you'll open an app, replace a device, or pay a bill without wondering whether your former partner still plays an invisible role in the process. Nothing remarkable will happen. Everything will simply work as intended, which is a surprisingly satisfying achievement when you've spent months untangling accounts that behaved like a couple long after the couple stopped

existing. Your relationship may be part of your history, but it doesn't need permanent administrator privileges over your future.

Chapter 1 - The Relationship Is Over, but the Permissions Are Still Active

At 7:16 on a Thursday morning, a printer in Iris's kitchen begins making noises. This is unusual for two reasons. First, Iris hasn't printed anything. Second, the printer has been sitting untouched since her former partner, Nathan, moved out six weeks earlier. After a brief mechanical struggle, it produces three pages of instructions for assembling a garden shed. Nathan apparently needs a shed. He also apparently still has access to the cloud printing service connected to Iris's printer. Somewhere across town, he is probably wondering why his instructions haven't appeared. Iris, meanwhile, is standing in her pajamas holding a document she didn't request, contemplating whether her printer is haunted or simply terrible at respecting boundaries.

This is what digital entanglement looks like when it becomes visible. It isn't necessarily malicious, dramatic, or even particularly sophisticated. Two people build a shared technological environment over several years, making small decisions that seem sensible at the time. They connect devices, create accounts, share subscriptions, and grant permissions. Nobody keeps a careful record because the arrangements work, and nobody expects to conduct a formal separation of household software. When the relationship ends, the people reorganize their lives, but the technology carries on. It has no reason to suspect that the person authorized to print documents last month should now be using a different printer.

The first challenge is recognizing that your digital relationship may be considerably larger than the collection of accounts you remember sharing. Some connections are obvious. You know about the streaming subscription, shared photo collection, and household shopping account. Others are buried in settings that nobody has

opened since the day they were configured. A recovery email might belong to your former partner. An old tablet might still be connected to your account. A travel service might have both names saved as passengers. None of these arrangements is automatically dangerous, but each deserves an appropriate decision. You cannot intelligently separate something until you understand how it is connected.

That doesn't mean embarking on a frantic search for every possible trace of your ex across the internet. Such a project could easily consume several weekends and produce an impressive collection of screenshots without resolving anything important. What you need is a useful picture of your existing dependencies: which services you use, who controls them, what information they contain, and what would happen if access changed. Think of it as preparing an inventory before moving house. You wouldn't begin by counting every screw in the furniture. You would identify the valuable belongings, the important documents, and the things that could cause trouble if left behind.

The four questions behind every shared account

Digital arrangements become easier to understand when you stop treating the word "account" as if it described everything. In reality, four separate questions often determine the outcome of a breakup: who owns or controls the account under the service's rules, who has permission to access it, whose information is stored there, and who pays for it. Those answers may point to different people. Someone might pay for a cloud storage plan without being the owner of every document it contains. Another person might manage the account while both partners contribute files. A third arrangement might involve individual accounts connected through a family subscription, with entirely separate identities but one shared bill.

Consider an illustrative couple, Ben and Chloe, who used a project management service to organize their home renovation.

Chloe created the workspace, Ben paid the subscription, and both uploaded receipts, contractor correspondence, photographs, and design ideas. After separating, Ben assumes that paying the bill makes the workspace his. Chloe assumes that creating it makes everything inside hers. Neither assumption resolves ownership of individual materials or the permissions granted by the provider. A contractor's invoice, a private financial document, and a jointly prepared renovation schedule may require different treatment. Their disagreement isn't really about which person has the stronger relationship with the login screen. It's about several separate interests that have been stored together.

That distinction changes the questions worth asking. Instead of "Who gets the account?" you can ask whether the service allows ownership transfer, which files each person is entitled to retain, whether separate access is possible, and how future payment responsibility should work. A single sweeping decision may not be appropriate. Some services permit administrators to assign different roles, while others offer little flexibility. And the ability to perform a technical operation doesn't automatically establish the legal right to do it. This is particularly important when valuable property, private correspondence, professional information, or disputed rights are involved.

You can begin identifying these arrangements with a private inventory that you control. A simple document is sufficient. Record the name of the service, its purpose, the people who may have access, the person responsible for payment, and anything important that depends on it. If you don't know who administers an account, write "unknown." If you're uncertain whether the service is still active, mark it for verification. Don't put passwords or recovery codes in the inventory. A useful administrative record should help you understand your situation without becoming a convenient collection of sensitive credentials for anybody who happens to find it.

Start with services you know you use, then look for less obvious connections through information you're authorized to examine. Your own billing records may reveal recurring payments you've forgotten. Your email confirmations may identify accounts associated with previous purchases. Device settings can sometimes show connected profiles and authorized users. You don't need to enter someone else's private account or search their messages to complete this exercise. If a detail cannot be established through your own legitimate access, record the uncertainty. Guessing may feel productive, but it often turns a straightforward organizational question into an unnecessary accusation.

Not all connections deserve the same urgency

Imagine discovering that your former partner remains listed as a collaborator on an old holiday packing list. Now imagine discovering that the same person may still have permission to approve important changes to your primary email account. Both are unfinished digital connections. They are not remotely equal in importance. The packing list can probably wait, unless somebody has developed an unusually dangerous interest in your preferred number of socks. The email issue deserves much earlier attention because it may affect access to other services. Prioritizing by consequence rather than annoyance keeps the process manageable.

An effective starting order is to consider potential risks to personal safety, account security, financial obligations, important information, and everyday access to essential services. Then consider arrangements requiring cooperation or formal transfer. Finally, deal with minor conveniences, outdated preferences, and harmless reminders. This isn't a rigid ranking that applies to everyone. An apparently ordinary shared account could become urgent if it contains information needed for a deadline, while a complicated subscription might be safe to address after its current billing period. The useful habit is asking what could actually happen if the connection remains unchanged for another week.

Sometimes the problem isn't a connection between two accounts but a dependency between several services. Your email may be used to recover access to a shopping profile. That shopping profile may contain a payment method. The payment method may support an essential subscription. Changing one component without understanding the others can create an unexpected chain reaction. It helps to identify which accounts function as gateways to the rest of your digital life. These deserve particular care because a mistake affects more than one application. Technology is very good at connecting services and considerably less enthusiastic about explaining all those connections when you decide to separate them.

Iris's printer offers a harmless example of this principle. The physical device is in her kitchen, but the printing permissions are managed through an online service. Simply unplugging the printer would stop the immediate inconvenience without resolving the underlying arrangement. Resetting everything might work, but could also create additional configuration problems. A more sensible response is to identify the relevant account and determine how printing permissions can be managed. The objective is for Iris to control the printer she is entitled to use while Nathan uses his own arrangements. Nobody needs to declare war on the printer, which has behaved with the unquestioning obedience of a machine.

One difficulty is that the person who originally configured a service may have become its unofficial household expert. Perhaps your former partner handled every router setting and software update. Perhaps you managed all the subscriptions and registration details. After separating, the less technically involved person may feel unable to take control, while the former administrator may assume that every request for help is an attempt to continue the relationship. Both problems become easier when you separate technical knowledge from personal obligation. Official instructions, provider support, and legitimate administrative procedures can reduce the need for ongoing personal assistance.

Shared history is not unlimited permission

The word "shared" can hide an important difference between information created together and information merely stored in the same place. If two people used one computer, it doesn't follow that either should copy every file. If they used the same cloud folder, its contents may include private material belonging to one person, jointly created documents, and information concerning third parties. A breakup doesn't erase privacy rights, contractual restrictions, or other legal considerations. Nor does knowing an old password automatically authorize continued access. Technical availability and legitimate permission are not interchangeable, however conveniently the login screen might suggest otherwise.

This becomes especially important when emotions are running high. You might feel that reviewing an old account would help you understand something about the breakup. You might believe that because you contributed financially, you should be allowed to examine everything stored there. Those feelings don't establish a right to access another person's private information. If a genuine dispute exists over important documents or shared assets, appropriate advice and formal procedures may be necessary. Using technical access as leverage can create new legal and personal difficulties. The goal is to reduce unwanted dependencies, not acquire enough information to qualify as the unauthorized archivist of somebody else's life.

Nor should you assume that the other person has malicious intentions whenever an old permission appears. Many connections remain active because both people forgot about them. Someone might continue paying for a service simply because it renews automatically. Someone else may genuinely believe that removing access would destroy your files. These possibilities don't mean you should ignore a problem, but they do suggest beginning with evidence rather than accusations. When communication is appropriate and safe, a specific request about a particular service is

more likely to succeed than a general demand to stop interfering with everything.

For example, instead of sending "You still have access to all my stuff," you might say, "The printing service still lists your account as an authorized user. I'd like to remove that connection so we can manage our devices separately. Can you confirm whether you need to complete anything on your side?" This identifies the problem and the proposed outcome without making claims that haven't been established. If the other person refuses or cannot assist, you can investigate the provider's official options rather than turning the conversation into an argument about the entire relationship.

There is an important exception to the ordinary cooperative approach. If your former partner has used technology to intimidate, monitor, threaten, or control you, changes to access may carry safety risks. Some services notify other users when permissions or security settings change. An abusive person may react to those changes. In that situation, a carefully planned safety response, potentially involving specialist support or trusted people, is more important than following a standard account-cleanup procedure. You do not have to negotiate directly with someone who puts you at risk, and you should not compromise your safety to achieve a tidy administrative result.

An inventory also has limits. It can't establish legal ownership, reveal every hidden connection, or guarantee that a service will support the changes you want. What it can do is replace vague uneasiness with specific, understandable questions. You may discover that most of your accounts are already independent and only a handful need attention. You may also uncover several arrangements that require more careful handling. Either outcome is useful. An identified problem can be assessed. An unidentified dependency remains free to introduce itself at the least convenient moment.

Once Iris understands her printing arrangement, she no longer needs to wonder whether the strange document was a message, a prank, or evidence that her kitchen appliances have formed a conspiracy. She knows which service connected the two households and what needs to be changed. Nathan can assemble his shed without using a printer on the other side of town, and Iris can make breakfast without receiving unsolicited building instructions. No grand emotional victory is required. The important change is that the connection has become visible, understandable, and manageable.

That is where a successful digital separation begins. You don't need to remember every account you've ever opened or become an expert in every service you use. You need to recognize the differences between ownership, access, information, and payment, then identify which connections genuinely matter. The relationship may be finished, but the permissions are still following instructions issued during a different chapter of your life. Before you start changing them, find out what those instructions actually do.

Chapter 2 - Who Really Holds the Keys to Your Digital Life?

Grace is trying to recover her photographs after dropping her phone into a sink full of washing-up water. The phone has responded to this unexpected swimming lesson by becoming a very expensive paperweight. Fortunately, her photographs are stored online. Unfortunately, the cloud service wants to verify her identity using a trusted device named "Kitchen Potato." Grace remembers Kitchen Potato perfectly. It was an old tablet that lived beside the refrigerator, mostly displaying recipes and collecting fingerprints. It also left the house with her former partner four months ago. The service now insists that she approve a notification on a device she no longer possesses. Apparently, her digital identity has been placed in the custody of a potato.

Knowing your password is not necessarily the same as controlling your account. This surprises people because passwords have traditionally been presented as the ultimate keys to online services. Enter the correct combination of characters and the door opens. Modern authentication systems are more complicated. They may require approval through another device, a code generated by an authenticator, a security key, a passkey, or a recovery method configured years earlier. These additional safeguards can be valuable, but they can also create difficulties when trusted devices and recovery arrangements belong to someone who is no longer part of your household.

There is another complication. An account may accept your credentials while maintaining previously authorized sessions on other devices. Depending on the provider, changing the password might terminate some sessions, all sessions, or require a separate action to revoke access. A shared computer may also contain saved browser information, while connected applications can possess