

Iwona Dronia

Communicating with Generation Z

The Development of Pragmatic Competence of Advanced Polish Users of English



UNIWERSYTET ŚLĄSKI
WYDAWNICTWO

Iwona Dronia, PhD, is an assistant professor at the Institute of Linguistics, Faculty of Humanities, University of Silesia in Katowice, Poland. She received her PhD in Linguistics from the University of Silesia in 2008. Her main areas of interest are SLA theory and research, discourse analysis (especially academic teacher discourse), rhetorics, sociopragmatics, and cross-cultural communication.

**Communicating with Generation Z
The Development of Pragmatic Competence of
Advanced Polish Users of English**

Iwona Dronia

**Communicating with Generation Z
The Development of Pragmatic
Competence of Advanced
Polish Users of English**

REFEREE

Hanna Komorowska

None of this would have been possible without my dear family.

*Most of all, I want to thank my truly supporting husband, Irek.
I sincerely appreciate all that you did for me at the time of writing this book.*

Thank you.

Table of Contents

11 _____ Introduction

CHAPTER 1

Communicating in the Second Language

17	1.1 The Nature of L2 Communication
19	<i>1.1.1 Communication Act</i>
23	Channel
25	Noise
27	Language Functions
29	<i>1.1.2 Acquisition vs Learning Distinction</i>
33	<i>1.1.3 First Language Development</i>
34	<i>1.1.4 Second Language Development</i>
37	<i>1.1.5 Anxiety and Inhibition</i>
41	<i>1.1.6 Willingness to Communicate and Motivation</i>
44	1.2 Communicative Competence
47	1.3 Characteristics of an Advanced L2 User of English
55	1.4 Recapitulation

CHAPTER 2

Communicating across Generations

57	2.1 Identifying Generations
62	2.2 Communicating across Age Generations
66	<i>2.2.1 Telephone Communication and Texting</i>
69	<i>2.2.2 Email Communication</i>
71	<i>2.2.3 Face-to-Face Communication</i>
73	2.3 Describing Generation Z

77	2.4 Generation Z and Their Learning Preferences
80	2.5 Recapitulation

CHAPTER 3

Communicating Effectively in Various Sociopragmatic Contexts

81	3.1 The Future of Communication Preferences: English as a Global Language
86	3.2 "Global" Politeness
89	3.3 Barriers in Intercultural Communication
91	3.3.1 Nonverbal Cross-cultural Differences
96	3.3.2 Paraverbal Aspects and Conversational Rules
99	3.3.3 Attitude and Stereotypes
101	3.3.4 Communication Style Differences
104	3.4 Pragmatic Competence
111	3.4.1 Cross-cultural Pragmatic Variations
115	3.4.2 Cross-cultural (Polish-English) Speech Acts Differences: Literature Overview
115	Requests
123	Polish vs English Requesting Strategies – Syntactic Downgraders
125	Polish vs English Requesting Strategies – Lexical Downgraders
127	Compliment Responses
127	Responding to Compliments
129	Polish vs English Reaction to Compliments
131	Apologies
134	Polish vs English Apologies
136	3.4.3 Development of Pragmatic Competence
143	3.4.4 Measuring Pragmatic Competence: The Case of Speech Acts
147	3.5 Interactional Competence
149	3.6 Recapitulation

CHAPTER 4

The Scheme of the Research Study

151	4.1 Research Objectives
154	4.2 Research Tools and Procedures
156	4.2.1 <i>Questionnaire</i>
160	4.2.2 <i>Discourse Completion Task</i>
164	4.2.3 <i>Retrospective Verbal Protocol</i>
165	4.2.4 <i>Test</i>
166	4.3 Sample Selection
168	4.4 Research Implementation: Stages of the Research Project
169	4.5 Content Analysis
169	4.6 Statistical Analysis
173	4.7 Recapitulation

CHAPTER 5

Data Presentation and Analysis

175	5.1 Pre-study Questionnaire
175	<i>5.1.1 Presentation of the Results</i>
192	<i>5.1.2 Recapitulation</i>
194	5.2 Discourse Completion Task – Analysis
194	<i>5.2.1 Requests</i>
200	<i>5.2.2 Compliments</i>
203	<i>5.2.3 Apologies</i>
206	5.3 Written Retrospective Verbal Protocol (WRVP)
207	<i>5.3.1 Statistical Analysis</i>
207	Requests
210	Compliments
215	Apologies
221	<i>5.3.2 Content Analysis</i>
221	Requests
229	Compliments
231	Apologies
235	<i>5.3.3 Students' Perceptions on the Difficulty of Speech Acts</i>
239	5.4 Pragmatic Comprehension Questionnaire

- 253 —— 5.5 A Test in Pragmatics
255 —— 5.6 Post-study Questionnaire
269 —— 5.7 Recapitulation

CHAPTER 6

Concluding Remarks

- 271 —— 6.1 Polish Generation Zers as Second Language Users
276 —— 6.2 Polish Gen Zers: Self-reported vs Manifested Development
of Pragmatic Competence
288 —— 6.3 Polish Gen Zers: Self-reported vs Manifested Development
of Linguistic Competence
291 —— 6.4 Limitations of the Study
293 —— 6.5 Recapitulation
- 295 —— Appendix
295 ——— Questionnaire 1 (Pre- and Post-study Questionnaire)
302 ——— Questionnaire 2: Assessing Pragmatic Comprehension
305 ——— Discourse Completion Task
307 ——— Written Retrospective Verbal Report
308 ——— Test: Introduction to Pragmatics
312 ——— LIWC 20 Report
312 ——— *Pragmatic Comprehension Test: Open Question Data*
316 ——— *WRVP. Requests*
320 ——— *WRVP. Compliments*
323 ——— *WRVP. Apologies*
326 ——— *WRVP. Difficult and Easy Speech Act*
- 329 —— References
329 —— Bibliography
356 —— Webgraphy
- 363 —— Author Index
371 —— Summary
373 —— Streszczenie

Introduction

The character of global communication is changing due to multifarious reasons of social, technological or even political nature. The taxonomy created by Howe and Strauss (2000) and by Chester (2002) differentiates between various age generations – baby boomers, Generation X, Millennials (Generation Y), and Generation Z, and each of them seems to constitute a unique group thinking, working, living, and communicating in its specific and age-appropriate way.

Generation Z has gained the reputation of being the most IT-literate group and the one that enjoys the privilege of easily accessible second language education. This kind of education is commonly introduced even at the kindergarten level (e.g., in Poland, it is a norm to introduce second language classes even to three-year-olds). As a result, this is probably the second generation after Millennials (at least in the post-communist countries) that derive pleasure from the unlimited and free choice of second language education, that is getting more and more accessible through web-based courses, programmes, learners and teachers resources, etc. (Dronia, 2020). Thus the average command of foreign language usage (and English specifically) among this particular age group is much higher than it used to be before. However, the importance of the context and hidden meaning for the correct interpretation of a communicative act is crucial in successful L2 communication. Pragmatic competence is undeniably one of the most fundamental yet commonly overlooked competences in the second/foreign language classroom. The status and the dominance of grammatically and lexically-oriented activities are always taken for granted, and their role in developing one's language accuracy is barely questioned. For some reason, though, even relatively advanced non-native speakers of English still find it difficult to produce native-like pragmatically appropriate utterances. Pragmatic competence encompassing such abilities as using the language for different purposes, understanding various intentions, and last but not least, choosing and connecting appropriate utterances in order to create a discourse (Bialystok, 1993) is rarely placed in the limelight of classroom attention.

Thus, such negligence commonly contributes to students' inability to behave appropriately and conform to different social situations requiring both verbal and non-verbal behaviour adaptations strategies (Ishihara & Cohen, 2010; Dronia & Garczyńska, 2017).

This book intends to shed some light on the problem of second language communication from sociolinguistic, pragmalinguistic, and cross-generational angles. The prime objective, however, is to look closely at the generation that is youngest, and which therefore has not yet been well researched. Generation Z is the generation now entering universities. Theoretically, being young and fully exposed to the second language (English) from early in life, they stand a great chance of becoming successful users of this language. Nevertheless, recent studies (cf. Aleksandrowicz-Pędzich, 2019) on advanced users of English indicate that at least in internationalised higher education, the students would probably rely on English as the lingua franca (with its grammatical, lexical, and phonological limitations) rather than using its more advanced form, far more appropriate in the context of academic learning.

The primary objective of this study is to describe Generation Z Polish students of English as second language users – not only to assess their language proficiency level, but also their problems in communication. One's communication efficacy, however, rests on the development of pragmatic competence. Therefore, particular emphasis is placed here on describing this process, as it seems that this ability is not sufficiently developed and may even be increasingly neglected. To understand the phases of pragmatic progress among Polish Generation Z advanced users of English, one should have a closer look at many other interconnected factors, such as linguistic mastery and sociocultural variables significantly affecting L2 learning, but also understand the learning context as well as other propensities pertaining to this particular age group.

The studies on cognitive processes employed while performing speech acts are very limited, and to the best of my knowledge, there has been not even one of them conducted on Polish users of English. Thus the longitudinal study described in the empirical part of this book (from Chapter 4 onwards) intends to examine students' pragmatic development by analysing their thoughts while performing requests, reacting to compliments, and apologising. The choice of those speech acts is deliberate, as all of them may evoke face threats. Requests and apologies place themselves in the context of "socially vulnerable situations," where one either has to ask someone for a favour or express regret for causing

some harm. This in itself may be already demanding (even for advanced users of a second language) not only in terms of the choice of appropriate linguistic resources, strategies used, etc., but also in terms of stress and various emotions it conveys. Additionally, the acts of requesting and apologising may be determined by differing cultural norms, constraints, and expectations (cf. Chapter 3). Different cultural attitudes towards a particular speech act are also clearly visible in case of compliments, as not every culture finds it easy to accept them. This idea, together with other cross-cultural pragmatic differences, is discussed in the Chapter 3 and later juxtaposed with the findings gathered from the research project (Chapters 5 and 6). The corpus collected through the implementation of WDCTs and WRVPs enabled us to conduct content and statistical analysis. The former focuses on identifying the most common themes and patterns, and the latter examines the corpus based on some software – LIWC 20 and SAILEE (receptiviti.com) and Grammarly application – and Flesch-Kincaid readability test. The findings gathered allow us to draw further conclusions concerning the development of the pragmatic and linguistic competence of the respondents.

The book is divided into theoretical (Chapters 1–3) and empirical part (Chapters 4–6). The first chapter, which focuses on the nature of communication acts, discusses particular variables that affect the process of information exchange. It also highlights some factors (such as anxiety and inhibition) that pertain only to L2 communication and can, in turn, significantly contribute to overall communication efficiency. Cross-cultural differences affecting communication quality are also discussed therein. English philology students should represent a very high level of achievement (C1 or even C2); that is, they should possess the ability to use the second language for various intents and purposes with both fluency and correctness. Such L2 users should exercise communicative competence and interactional and pragmatic awareness to be able to partake in various cross-cultural encounters. Thus this part intends to describe different proficiency stages that L2 students may represent; however, particular attention is paid to the characteristics of C1 and C2 levels and the description of pragmatic competence. The second chapter characterises age generations in terms of their prevalent features, the values and norms they hold, and their communication preferences. Special attention is paid to Generation Z as this is the cohort whose members took part in the research described in the empirical part of the book. The chapter additionally attempts to provide some educational perspective and describe this age group specifically as second language learners.

The intention of Chapter 3 is to briefly discuss sociopragmatic variables that may significantly contribute to effective second language communication. The chapter starts with some suggestions concerning the future of communication preferences when English has already become a lingua franca, attempting to determine “global” or universal features of politeness that a second language user may rely on in communication. It then moves on to intercultural communication and various barriers that may impact its effectiveness. The chapter primarily focuses on the concept of pragmatic competence and then on cross-cultural differences (Polish and English) visible in some speech acts – requesting, responding to a compliment, and apologising. The aim of this comparison is to juxtapose the ways Polish and English native speakers produce those speech acts and illustrate some potential areas of pragmatic divergence.

Chapter 4 introduces the empirical part of the book. Along with specifying the research objectives and tools used (three questionnaires distributed among Polish students of English belonging to Generation Z, a set of three WDCT scenarios, Written Retrospective Verbal Protocol, and a test in pragmatics), it also provides some biographical information concerning the subjects as well as the process of research implementation.

Chapter 5 presents the data gathered from the respondents and analyses it to assess their general second language level and the development of pragmatic competence specifically. The data obtained from three questionnaires (pre- and post-study questionnaire and a pragmatic comprehension questionnaire) and the results of WDCT scenarios and WRVPs enable us to finally characterise Polish Generation Zers as second language learners. The last part of the book presents general conclusions stemming from the research and verifies the development of the generation’s linguistic and pragmatic competences. Last but not least, it also provides the limitations of this study and some areas for future research.

At the time of writing, the world is in the grips of a pandemic caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus. This has lead to massive disruption in all forms of education, including L2 teaching. Traditional classroom lessons have been replaced by alternative, mainly online forms of teaching such as those taking place via Skype, Microsoft Teams, and Zoom, or simply text-based channels like email. This change of contact form will have significant and far-reaching consequences.

The youngest of generational groups partaking in the research study has already demonstrated a clear preference for online communication channels

(the data gathered before the coronavirus outbreak are displayed in the empirical part of the study). Now, when the whole world is forced to live in a cyber reality, and most forms of teaching have been transferred there, too, one may assume that this preference will only get stronger. Hence it is equally impossible to predict how this situation will affect Generation Z's soft skills and the ability to maintain real-life communication. Unfortunately, sad and ominous as this prospect may seem, we can only hope that the consequences of the lockdowns for face-to-face communication will not be as long-lasting.

Author Index

A

Adams Marilyn 144
Adorno Theodore 100
Agraval AJ 71, 72
Ahuvia Aaron 169
Ai Haiyang 55
Akarika Daniel 20
Alanen Riikka 100
Aleksandrowicz-Pędzich Lucyna 12, 90,
272, 275

Allen Louis 21
Almaney Adnan 92
Al Saraj Taghreed 32, 39
Alton Larry 66, 70, 71
Alwan AJ 92
Appadurai Arjun 81
Arabski Janusz 31, 32
Ariel Mira 104, 281
Arora Drlokeshi 74
Austin John 115
Axtell Roger 95
Azarnoosh Maryam 19

B

Bachman Lyle 46
Baker Susan 41
Bamford Julian 43
Bardovi-Harlig Kathleen 105, 137, 138,
161, 162, 274

Barron Anne 160
Bartłomiejczyk Magdalena 115
Beaven Mark 58
Beaver David 171
Beebe Leslie 139, 162, 199
Bejtkovský Jiri 72, 73, 77
Beltrán-Palanques Vincent 164, 206
Bencsik Andrea 58, 76, 77
Bendas-Jacob Orit 37
Benson Phil 100
Berge Zane 73
Bernat Eva 100
Bialystok Ellen 11, 19, 105, 165, 275
Bizumić Boris 100
Blackburn Kate 171
Bloom-Kulka Shoshana 141, 200
Blum-Kulka Shoshana 114, 116, 118, 119,
121, 123, 124, 133, 152, 160, 196, 198, 279
Blum Shoshana 160
Bodman Jean 137, 160
Boyd Ryan 171
Brown Horace 27, 30, 33, 37, 38, 40, 44,
46, 93, 94, 99, 100, 102, 103, 106, 165
Brown James 156, 160
Brown Penelope 110, 114, 117, 120, 121, 127,
149
Burleson Brant 19
Burnard Pamela 169
Burt Marina 34

- Bygate Martin 18
Byram Michael 50
- C**
Cameron Lynne 142
Campbell Robin 45, 104
Canagarajah Suresh 81, 82, 83, 84, 85
Canale Michael 19, 21, 26, 45, 46, 47, 104,
 106, 137, 199
Carroll Bernard 47
Chang Yuh-Fang 105
Charos Catherine 42
Chen Bryan Gin-ge 151, 281
Chen Bryan Gin-Ge 281, 288
Chen Guo-Ming 90
Chester Eric 11, 63, 64, 69, 71, 72
Chomsky Noam 31, 45
Choraih Mohamed 105, 151
Cilliers Elizelle 78
Clifford Ray 55
Cohen Andrew 12, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109,
 111, 128, 135, 144, 145, 146, 163, 173, 239,
 240, 241, 250, 251, 287, 293
Cohen Louis 157
Cohn Michael 211, 243
Collier Virginia 37
Collins Allan 144
Collins Laura 82
Conrod Sarah 41
Cook Vickie 72, 77
Cook Vivian 81
Corder Stephen 45, 107
Crystal David 82, 84, 105, 152
Csobanka Zsuzsa 75
Cummings Martha 162
Cyluk Agnieszka 161
- D**
Daft Richard 23
Daley Christine 39
Daukseviciute Irene 78
Day Richard 43
Dąbrowska Anna 135
de Bot Kees 142
DeFleur Melvin 92
DeKeyser Robert 18
Dewaele Jean-Marc 32, 39, 82
Dickson David 65
Dolot Anna 73, 75, 80
Dörnei Zoltan 19
Dörneyi Zoltan 182
Dörnyei Zoltan 156, 166, 168
Dronia Iwona 11, 12, 25, 41, 64, 71, 105,
 198
Dulay Heidi 34
- E**
Eckert Penelope 137
Economidou-Kogetsidis Maria 121
Eisenstein Miriam 137, 160
Ekman Paul 93
Ellis Rod 17, 18, 27, 29, 38, 107, 109, 111, 112,
 139, 141, 276, 277, 287, 293
Ericsson Anders 164
Eslami Zohreh 143
- F**
Faerch Claus 124
Færch Claus 107
Feiertag Jeff 73
Félix-Brasdefer César 146, 164
Fienemann Jutta 86, 87, 88
Firth Alan 85

- Fordyce Kenneth 123
 Franklin Peter 278
 Friedman Issac 37
 Friesen Wallace 93
 Fromkin Victoria 33
 Fujimura-Wilson Kayo 127, 130
 Fukazawa Seiji 123
 Fukushima Saeko 115, 117, 119
- G**
- Gabillon Zehra 100
 Gaidhani Shilpa 74, 75
 Galacz Lynda 148, 149
 Garcia Paula 151
 Garczyńska Beata 12, 105
 Gardner Robert 38, 43
 Gass Susan 40
 Gibson Robert 27, 89, 96, 97, 99, 100,
 104
 Giddens Anthony 92
 Goh Christine 17
 Gouws Rufus 77
 Graddol David 84
 Graesser Arthur 243
 Green John 19
 Grove Tim 92
 Guiora Aleksander 40
 Gvozdenko Inna 100
- H**
- Hakuta Kenji 32
 Hall Edward 94, 95, 104
 Halliday Michael 27, 28
 Hall Mildred 95
 Hammill Greg 58, 59, 60, 72, 73
 Hampden-Turner Charles 96, 97
- Hanzl Małgorzata 78
 Harber Jeffrey 77
 Hargie Owen 65
 Hassall Tim 108, 146
 Hassal Tim 130, 164, 166, 173
 Heng Choong Yong 65
 Herbert Robert 129, 130, 201, 279
 Heskett James 58
 Heskett James 59, 73
 Hietanen Jari 93
 Higgs Theodore 55
 Hildebrandt Herbert 20
 Hill Jane 28, 29, 36, 37
 Hoffman-Hicks Sheila 151
 Hoffman Sheila 40
 Hofstede Geert 95
 Holmes Daniele 245
 Holmes Janet 128
 Horowitz Elaine 27
 Horwitz Elaine 38
 Horwitz Michael 38
 Hosseini Fatemi 100
 House Julian 279
 House Juliane 84, 87, 124, 133, 198
 Howe Neil 11, 57, 58, 60, 73
 Huang Yan 29, 89, 112, 114, 117, 118, 119,
 129, 200, 252
 Hymes Dell 21, 44, 45, 151
 Hysa Beata 80
- I**
- Iberi-Shea Gina 168
 Ikeda Naoki 149
 Ishihara Noriko 12, 105, 106, 107, 109,
 111, 128, 144, 145, 154, 173, 198, 199, 287,
 293

- J**
- Jakobovits Leon 100
 - Jakubowska Ewa 115, 129, 130, 131, 135, 136, 200
 - Jaleniauskienė Evelina 75
 - Jautz Sabine 160, 161, 162
 - Jefferson Gail 98
 - Jenkins Jennifer 89
 - Jones Stephen 162
 - Jordan Kayla 171
 - Jourdenais Renée 146
 - Juceviciene Palmira 75
- K**
- Kacewicz Ewa 243
 - Kachru Braj 83
 - Kasper Gabriele 17, 107, 108, 110, 114, 124, 133, 137, 138, 139, 152, 160, 161, 163, 198, 199, 274, 276, 277, 279
 - Kasper Gabrielle 105
 - Kecskés Istvan 108, 111, 138, 140, 142, 143, 280
 - Kehl Daniel 60
 - Kellerman Eric 19
 - Kellerman Erik 17
 - Kiely Michael 24
 - Kiliańska-Przybyło Grażyna 32, 90, 91, 105, 171
 - Kim Ji-Young 55, 289
 - King Laura 244
 - Kirchhoff Johanna 133, 134, 204, 293
 - Kita Małgorzata 71
 - Kitao Kathleen 131, 132, 160, 205
 - Kitao Kenji 131, 132, 160, 205
 - Knapp Karlfried 87
- Komorowska Hanna 43, 47, 50, 52, 85, 89, 165
 - Kozicka-Borysowska Żaneta 134, 136
 - Kozrmos Judit 55
 - Kramsch Stephen 147
 - Krashen Stephen 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36
 - Krippendorff Klaus 169
 - Kusevska Marija 110
- L**
- Labben Afef 162, 163
 - Lahuerta Ana 55
 - Lakoff Robin 134, 141
 - Lambert Wallace 43
 - Larsen-Freeman Diane 142
 - Laver James 162
 - Leathers Dale 90, 93, 94, 95
 - Leech Geofrey 110, 114, 121, 131, 132, 140, 141, 195
 - Lenge Robert 23
 - Lengyel Zsolt 32
 - Lenneberg Eric 32
 - Leśniewska Justyna 52, 53, 55, 289
 - Levelt Willem 18
 - Levenston Eddie 160
 - Levinson Stephen 110, 114, 117, 119, 120, 121, 127, 149
 - Lewandowska-Tomaszczyk Barbara 130
 - Lewis Richard 90, 101, 102
 - Lightbow Patsy 36, 54
 - Linnes Cathrine 75
 - Liu Dilin 151
 - LoCastro Virginia 144
 - Long Robert 162
 - Lor Winnie 100

- Lubecka Anna 124
Lu Xiaofei 55
- M**
Machova Renata 76, 77
MacIntyre 42
MacIntyre Peter 17, 26, 33, 38, 41, 42,
 43
Macnamara John 46
Mahmoodia Mohammad 42
Maibodi Ashraf Haji 146, 147
Malyuga Elena 17, 104
Mansoor Abdullah 151
Marcjanik Małgorzata 71, 124
Martines-Flor Alicia 104
Matsumura Shoichi 139
Mauranen Anna 84
May Lyn 149
Mazgutova Diana 55
McArthur Tom 82
McCindle Mark 58, 61
McCroskey James 41
McLean Sally 157
McNamara Tim 143
Meierchord Christiane 87
Meierkord Christiane 85
Metcalf Brian 75
Miller Kirsten 28, 29, 36, 37
Mirzaei Azizullah 143
Mitchell Candace 161
Moazam Ismail 42
Morkus Nader 105
Morris Desmond 94
Muñoz Carmen 82
Murdock George 99
Murphy Herta 20
- N**
Nagy Tünde 45, 83, 84
Nakane Ikuko 97
Nakatani Yasuo 17
Naumovska Ljupka 63
Nelson Gayle 114
Neuner Gerhard 50
Newman Mathew 217
Newmark Leonard 35
Newport Frank 67, 68
- O**
Oblinger Diana 58
Oblinger James 58
Obrecht Dean 46
Ochs Elinor 199
Odlin Terence 107
Ogbeide Godwin-Charles 64
Ogiermann Eva 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 132,
 134, 136, 160, 161, 195, 197
Ohbuchi Ken-ichi 204
Oller John 46
Olshtain Elite 114, 116, 132, 135, 146, 163,
 198, 203, 279, 293
Onwuegbuzie Anthony 39
Oppenheim Alan 157
Orlova Svetlana 17, 104
Ortega Lourdes 168
O'Shaughnessy Marie 94
Oxford Rebecca 38
- P**
Painter Clare 28
Palmer Adrian 46
Paltridge Brian 37, 89, 149
Pawlak Mirosław 18

- Penfield Wilder 32
Pennebaker James 169, 170, 171, 172, 208,
211, 216, 217, 243, 244, 285
Piechurska-Kuciel Ewa 37, 38, 40, 153,
168, 272
Piłat Ewa 71
Pomerantz Anita 127, 149
Prensky Mark 58, 63, 73
Putnam Whitney 100
- Q**
Qu Yan 98
- R**
Rehbein Jochen 86, 87, 88
Renfro Adam 73
Ren Wei 146
Richards Jack 53, 54, 55
Riley Philip 111
Rintell Ellen 161
Roach Carol 92
Roberts Lamar 32
Robinson M.A. 163, 164
Rodriques Miguel 21
Roever Carsten 143
Ronowicz Eddie 131, 135
Rose Kenneth 138, 160, 163, 199,
274
Rothman Darla 78
Rover Carsten 151
Ruben Robert 32
Rude Stephanie 244
Rueda Yined Tello 105, 137, 138, 139,
274
Rusieshvili Manana 272
- S**
Sacks Harvey 98
Salgado Elizabeth 108, 116, 121, 130, 132,
173, 195, 203, 279, 280
Salleh Mohd Sharil Mat 75, 77, 279
Salsbury Tom 137, 274
Sandeen Cathy 73
Savignon Sandra 46
Scarella Robin 29, 123
Schauer Gila 139
Schmidt Richard 53, 54, 123, 137, 142, 274,
276, 277, 287, 293
Schneider Klaus 162
Schumann John 99, 102, 103
Scallon Ron 98
Scallon Suzanne 98
Scovel Thomas 38
Searle John 115
Seidlhofer Barbara 83, 85, 89, 275
Selinker Larry 40
Shakeebae Golshan 100, 101
Sharma Bhuvanesh 74, 75
Sharwood-Smith Mike 110
Sifianou Maria 97, 118, 119
Simon Herbert 164
Singh Anjali 77
Singleton David 32
Skinder Marcin 25
Soler Eva 104
Solska Agnieszka 114, 115
Spada Nina 36, 54
Spencer-Oatey Helen 87, 278
Spielberger Charles 38
Stadler Stefanie 112
Starfield Sue 37, 89
Steinerowska-Streb Izabella 80

- Stephan Cookie 41
Stephan Walter 41
Stern Roni 78
Stewart John 26
Strauss William 11, 57, 58, 60, 73
Sulaiman Baharudin Nik 74, 77
Sumner William 100
Suszczyńska Małgorzata 135, 204
Suszyńska Małgorzata 134
Swain Merrill 19, 21, 26, 45, 46, 47, 104,
 106, 137, 199
- T**
- Taguchi Naoko 142, 151
Takahashi Satomi 274
Takahashi Tomoko 139
Tari Annamaria 75
Tarone Elaine 18, 19
Tarp Sven 77
Tausczik Yla 169, 170, 171, 172, 216, 217,
 285
Taylor Evelina 148, 149
Termińska Kamila 135
Terrell Tracy 35, 36
Tetlock Philip 217
Thomas Jenny 53, 105, 110, 111, 134, 140,
 144, 287
Thomas Wayne 37
Thwaite Anne 28
Tímea Juhász 58, 76, 77
Timpe-Laughlin Veronika 104, 141, 142,
 143, 275
Törőcsik Maria 60
Torr Jane 28
Trask Robert 104
Trompenaars Fons 96, 97, 104
- Trosborg Anna 116, 122, 123, 131, 146
Tucker Richard 46
Turner Anthony 74, 75
- U**
- Uono Shota 93
Upshur Jane 46
Ur Penny 24
Ushioda Ema 43
- V**
- Vanrell Maria 163
Venter Elza 65
- W**
- Wales Roger 45, 104
Waller Gary 52
Walsh Stephen 149
Walters Joel 137
Wang Vincent 120, 123, 197
Waring Hansun 199
Wasserman Ilene 72
Watts Richard 162
Webster Jonathan 28
Weizman Elda 119
White Shelley 73
Widjaja Christina 165
Wierzbicka Anna 115, 124, 127, 129, 134
Wildner-Bassett Mary 138
Wiley Edward 32
Wilson Robert 157
Wipprecht Claudia 132
Wojtaszek Adam 160, 161
Wolfson Nessa 130, 162
Woodfield Helen 146, 164
Wrycza-Bekier Joanna 71

- Wyatt Nancy 92
Wziątek-Staśko Anna 80
- X**
Xiao Feng 139
Xing Jianyu 87
Xu Lan 151
- Y**
Yamanaka Janice 151
- Yashima Tomoko 43
Yazdanifard Rashad 65
Young Richard 147
Yule George 31, 32, 33, 34, 108, 117, 118,
120
- Z**
Zając Jolanta 91
Zarate Geneviéve 50
Zufferey Sandrine 121

Communicating with Generation Z The Development of Pragmatic Competence of Advanced Polish Users of English

S u m m a r y

The intention of this book is to shed some light on the problem of second language communication from both cross-cultural and cross-generational angles and to diagnose communication patterns, opinions, and beliefs on the nature of L2 learning visible among the Generation Z group that is youngest, and which therefore has not yet been well researched. Generation Z is the generation now entering universities and therefore the author's intention is to describe them as second language users of English. Particular emphasis is placed on the development of pragmatic competence (pragmatic production and pragmatic comprehension), as it seems that this ability is not sufficiently developed, and may even be increasingly neglected. In order to assess the ILP development, which, undeniably, contributes to general communication efficacy, it has been decided not only to assess the respondents language level (that is primarily done on the basis of WDCT and WRVPs results and the way they responded to three situations requiring from them a diversified style of discourse), but also their problems in communication. The author of this book is therefore primarily focused on assessing Generation Z's pragmatic awareness, discovering what exactly shapes this perception and drives their learning and communicating mechanisms.

To do so, a three-year research project (longitudinal study) was carried out among the students of the English department of the University of Silesia. The study was divided into stages, each of which served different objectives. The number of tools used at particular phases of the research project was deliberate and aimed at enhancing its reliability by collecting data by means of different research instruments, that is, two self-designed questionnaires, three Written Discourse Completion Task (WDCT) scenarios, written retrospective verbal protocol (WRVP), and a test in pragmatics. The study was carried out from June 2018 to March 2021 and included eight stages. The general objectives of this project are twofold:

1. To assess the development of pragmatic competence of Polish students belonging to the age cohort Generation Z ("Generation Zers").
2. To describe this group of students as L2 learners of English.

The book is divided into theoretical (the first three chapters) and empirical (chapter four to six) part. The intention of the first chapter is to briefly characterise the specifics pertaining to second language communication as well as to describe some factors that have a substantial impact on the development of communication efficacy. Secondly, this part also discusses various components of communicative competence together with the features and skills that an advanced second language user will display. The second chapter provides most salient characteristics typically ascribed to given generational cohort. Special attention is paid to the description of Generation Z as this group has been chosen to take part in the longitudinal study presented in

the empirical part of this book. Additionally, the chapter discusses most typical communication channels that particular age group favours most.

The objective of the third chapter is to briefly discuss sociopragmatic variables that may significantly contribute to effective second language communication. The chapter starts with some suggestions concerning the future of communication preferences in the time when English has already become a lingua franca. It then moves on to intercultural communication and various barriers that may impact its effectiveness. It primarily focuses on the concept of pragmatic competence and lastly on cross-cultural differences (Polish and English) visible in some speech acts. It introduces a review of the literature, that is, presents some of the most significant findings pertaining to the speech acts of requesting, complimenting (and especially reacting to compliments) and apologies, and analyses them in terms of cross-linguistic and cross-cultural differences (primarily Polish-English areas of divergence).

The fourth chapter initiates the empirical part of this book. It starts off with specifying research objectives and then moves on to describing research tools used in this longitudinal study, that is, pre- and post-study questionnaires, WDCT scenarios, WRVP, a test in pragmatics and a pragmatic comprehension questionnaire. It describes the participants of this research project – a group of Generation Z advanced users of English choosing this language as their major and studying at the University of Silesia, Poland. The next section of this book provides the data computed for the needs of both content and statistical analysis. The findings come from five research tools implemented in this research project, that is, pre- and post-study questionnaire, WDCT scenarios, WRVPs, a questionnaire measuring one's pragmatic comprehension and a test in pragmatics. The last chapter is of conclusive character as it summarises this research project with the intention of providing answers to the most salient questions and objectives of this longitudinal study: it characterises Polish Gen Zers as second language learners, tracks the level of the development of pragmatic competence and provides some insights on the condition of their general linguistic skills.

Keywords: pragmatic competence, Generation Z, cross-cultural communication, speech act of requesting, complimenting and apologising

Komunikacja z pokoleniem Z Rozwój kompetencji pragmatycznej polskich zaawansowanych użytkowników języka angielskiego

Streszczenie

Niniejsza publikacja ma na celu przybliżenie aspektów związanych z komunikacją międzykulturową i międzypokoleniową. Szczególna uwaga poświęcona jest jednak generacji Z i to ona została uwzględniona w przeprowadzonym badaniu, opisany w części praktycznej.

Celem rozdziału pierwszego jest przybliżenie wiadomości na temat procesu komunikacji i czynników mających bezpośredni wpływ na przesyłanie i odbiór wiadomości. Komunikacja omówiona jest tutaj również z punktu widzenia interakcji w języku obcym, często w zróżnicowanych kontekstach socjokulturowych i pojawiających się dodatkowych utrudnień istotnie ograniczających efektywny dialog. Kolejno omówione są kwestie dotyczące różnic poziomów w opanowaniu języka obcego oceniane w odniesieniu do Europejskiego Systemu Kształcenia Językowego, w szczególności dwa ostatnie poziomy (C1 i C2) i kompetencje, które użytkownik języka obcego powinien opanować, reprezentując ten właśnie stopień zaawansowania językowego.

Rozdział drugi przybliża charakterystykę różnych grup pokoleniowych, szczegółowo omawiając pokolenie *baby boomers*, generację X i pokolenie Y (tzw. millenialsów). Szczególna uwaga poświęcona jest jednak najmłodszemu z pokoleń, jeszcze studiującemu, ale również już obecnemu na rynku pracy – pokoleniu Z. Autorka omawia nie tylko atrybuty, zalety i wady poszczególnych grup wiekowych, lecz przede wszystkim skupia się na sposobie, w jaki dane pokolenie komunikuje się ze światem. Komunikacja omówiona jest tutaj zarówno pod kątem ulubionych i często wybieranych przez daną grupę kanałów komunikacyjnych, jak również pewnych ograniczeń z tym związanych; narastających, szczególnie w najmłodszych grupach problemów z komunikacją interpersonalną itp.

Rozdział trzeci skupia się na czynnikach socjokulturowych determinujących efektywną komunikację. Rozdział rozpoczyna się krótkim omówieniem obecnych opinii dotyczących przeszłości komunikacji w języku angielskim, pełniącego obecnie rolę *lingua franca*. Następnie omówione zostaje zagadnienie kompetencji komunikacyjnej i pragmatycznej, oraz etapów rozwoju i możliwości oceny tej ostatniej u osób posługujących się językiem angielskim jako językiem drugim. Podsumowaniem tej części jest krótkie zestawienie różnic pragmatycznych pomiędzy językiem polskim i angielskim, przedstawiające się w realizacji trzech aktów mowy – proszenia, komplementowania i przepraszania.

Część praktyczna niniejszej publikacji rozpoczyna się od rozdziału czwartego. Rozdział definiuje cele badawcze, a także charakteryzuje zastosowane narzędzia, jak również sposób organizacji badania, jego przebieg oraz sposób zbierania i analizowania danych. Głównym celem badania było zebranie informacji umożliwiających ocenę sposobu komunikowania się pokolenia Z w języku angielskim i czynników na to wpływających. Ocena efektywności komunikacyjnej

oparta jest tu przede wszystkim na stopniu rozwoju kompetencji pragmatycznej i językowej respondentów – 100 osób studiujących filologię angielską na Uniwersytecie Śląskim należących do pokolenia Z. Grupa ta wzięła udział w badaniu podłużnym (*longitudinal study*) trwającym od 2018 do 2021 roku i mającym na celu weryfikację stopnia opanowania kompetencji pragmatycznej studentów – zarówno kompetencji związanej z rozumieniem (*pragmatic comprehension*), jak również produkcją (*pragmatic production*). Materiał zgromadzony podczas badania pozwolił na ocenę rozwoju tej kompetencji i wyciągnięcie wniosków dotyczących omawianej grupy i ich charakterystykę jako użytkowników języka angielskiego.

W badaniu zastosowano pięć narzędzi badawczych – dwa kwestionariusze rozprowadzone wśród uczestników przed rozpoczęciem i po jego zamknięciu (*pre- i post-study questionnaire*), kwestionariusz mierzący poziom rozumienia pragmatycznego, trzy scenariusze scenek sytuacyjnych (WDCT), protokół retrospecyjny (WRVP) i test z wprowadzenia do pragmatyki. Dodatkowo korpus uzyskany z WDCT i WRVP posłużył do oceny poziomu zaawansowania językowego badanej grupy, przy jednoczesnym wykorzystaniu go w analizie statystycznej z zastosowaniem oprogramowania LIWC 20, Receptiviti i aplikacji Grammarly.

Analiza odpowiedzi uzyskanych z kwestionariusza rozpoczęjącego i kończącego badanie miała na celu ustalenie najczęściej wybieranych kanałów komunikacyjnych, problemów związanych z nauką języka angielskiego, a także opinii studentów na temat procesu uczenia się tego języka. Dodatkowo Autorka chciała również ocenić poziom rozwoju kompetencji pragmatycznej uczestników badania (stan wiedzy deklarowanej), ich podejście do poprawności językowej, a także sprawdzić, czy w okresie trzech lat studiów ich podejście i świadomość językowo-pragmatyczna się zmieniła.

Kolejnym istotnym narzędziem badawczym był WDCT (*discourse completion task*) wymagający od respondentów reakcji na trzy sytuacje, z którymi byli konfrontowani: poproszenia o pożyczanie długopisu, reakcji na komplement i przeproszenia za spóźnienie. Analiza uzyskanych odpowiedzi miała na celu oszacowanie faktycznego poziomu rozwinięcia kompetencji pragmatycznej (w szczególności pragmalingwistycznej) studentów deklarujących nie niższy niż C1 poziom biegłości językowej. Protokół retrospecyjny pozwolił zaś nie tylko na zebranie korpusu umożliwiającego kolejną analizę oceny poziomu językowego badanych, lecz przede wszystkim procesów myślowych, strategii i problemów, na które napotykały respondenci, formułując opisane już wcześniej trzy akty mowy. Kwestionariusz rozumienia sytuacji pragmatycznych pozwolił na ocenę umiejętności socjopragmatycznych studentów, a test z wprowadzenia do pragmatyki stanoił swoiste podsumowanie całego badania i kolejną możliwość weryfikacji ich wiedzy z zakresu pragmatyki. Ostatni rozdział niniejszej publikacji to wnioski płynące z badań.

Słowa klucze: kompetencja pragmatyczna, pokolenie Z, komunikacja międzykulturowa, akty mowy – proszenie, reakcja na komplement i przepraszanie

COPY EDITOR AND PROOFREADING

Krystian Wojcieszuk

COVER DESIGNER

Tomasz Tomczuk

On the cover a photo by Reimund Bertrams (retrieved from Pixabay.com)

LAYOUT DESIGN

Zofia Oslislo-Piekarska

TECHNICAL EDITOR AND TYPESETTING

Paulina Dubiel

EDITORIAL ASSISTANT

Przemysław Pieniążek

Copyright notice valid until 31.10.2023:

Copyright © 2022 by Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Śląskiego

All rights reserved



We support open science

As of 1.11.2023, publication available under Creative Commons license

Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-SA 4.0)

The electronic version will be published in the open access formula
in the Repository of the University of Silesia www.rebus.us.edu.pl

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9556-0953>

Dronia, Iwona

Communicating with Generation Z : the development
of pragmatic competence of advanced Polish users
of English / Iwona Dronia. First impression. -

Katowice : Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Śląskiego, 2022

DOI <https://doi.org/10.31261/PN.4106>

ISBN 978-83-226-4209-2

(print edition)

ISBN 978-83-226-4210-8

(digital edition)

PUBLISHER

Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Śląskiego

ul. Bankowa 12B, 40-007 Katowice

www.wydawnictwo.us.edu.pl

e-mail: wydawnictwo@us.edu.pl

First impression. Printed sheets: 23.5. Publishing sheets: 23.0. PN 4106. Price 99,90 PLN (VAT included).
This publication has been typeset in the **Maecenas** typeface (by Michał Jarociński) and published on **Offset**
paper grade III, 90 g. Printing and binding: volumina.pl Sp. z o.o. (ul. Księcia Witolda 7–9, 71-063 Szczecin)

This book intends to shed some light on the problem of second language communication from both cross-cultural and cross-generational angles and to diagnose communication patterns, opinions, and beliefs on the nature of L2 learning visible among the Generation Z group. Particular emphasis is placed on the development of pragmatic competence (pragmatic production and pragmatic comprehension). In order to assess the Intertlanguage Pragmatics (ILP) development, it has been decided not only to evaluate the respondents' language level but also their problems in communication. Diagnosing sociopragmatic competence as well as pragmalinguistic strategies implemented while performing three speech acts, that is, requesting, reacting to a compliment, and apologising, allows one to indicate problematic areas and suggest some teaching implications, that in turn, will enable to introduce necessary changes and forms of work on the further development of English.

Price 99.90 PLN (VAT included)

ISBN 978-83-226-4210-8



9 788322 642108

About this book

